

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Procurement of Periodic Maintenance of Gravel Roads: Re-gravelling Contract in the Oshakati Region (Contracts: GRU-OSH02)
2.	<b>CPBN Procurement Reference No</b>	W/OAB/CPBN/-03/2021
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	23 May 2022
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	W/OAB/CPBN/-03/2021
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	Procurement of Periodic Maintenance of Gravel Roads: Re-gravelling Contract in the Oshakati Region (Contracts: GRU-OSH02)
6.	<b>Estimated Cost:</b>	N\$99,700,000.01 (Inc. VAT)
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	22 February 2021
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	11 May 2021
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	11 May 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Thirty-Four (34)

### 13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		ITB 5.3 Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 1.2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 1.4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 2 Evaluation Score	Stage 3 Qualification	Stage 4 Financial Verification	
18	Brandberg Construction	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder was found to have a conflict of interest in that they have the same controlling partners in common with Windhoek Sandworx CC which is in contravention of ITB 5.3(a-g) of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
23	Windhoek Sandworx CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder was found to have a conflict of interest in that they have the same 3 out of 4 controlling partners in common with Brandberg Construction CC which is in contravention of ITB 5.3(a-g) of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
4	ETN Technical Services CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One page under Section IV, DR 3526 of the Bill of Quantities not initiated in accordance with ITB 21.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
7	OTESA Civil Engineering (PTY) Ltd	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not initial some pages under Part 3 Section IV General Conditions of Contract pages 294, 295 and 310. Shareholder's identification documents were not submitted as per Section III - Evaluation criteria and qualification, in accordance with ITB 21.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
13	Erongo Quarry & Civil Works Pty Ltd	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cover page not initialed</li> <li>All pages of BOQ with rates not initialed.</li> </ul>
15	Silver Gate Trading Enterprises cc	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following documents and their related pages (8) not initialed:</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		ITB 5.3	Stage 1.1	Stage 1.2	Stage 1.3	Stage 1.4	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	
		Conflict of Interest	Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Qualification	Financial Verification	
	JV Nafial Trading Enterprises CC									<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Katangas CV&amp; Attachements not initiated</li> <li>Ouseb's AVENG certificate not initiated</li> <li>B. Mwanamwali's ID and qualifications not initiated</li> <li>Ministry of Safety renovations letter not initiated in accordance with ITB 21.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
25	Dunedin Investment CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pages 195 and 319 not initiated</li> <li>Registration certificate for Roller, Grader and Loader not initiated</li> <li>Document: Evrest Customer (Coastal Hire) not initiated</li> <li>G form CCC: supporting documents by company not initiated</li> <li>H Financial situation supporting documents by company not initiated in accordance with clause ITB 21.2 of the bidding documents.</li> </ul>	
31	Andjamba Construction CC	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All supporting documents &amp; some pages (84&amp;102) in BOQ not initiated in accordance with ITB 21.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>	
1	Sumseno Contracting	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tax Good Standing Certificate in accordance with ITB 12.1(i)(b) of the bidding document.</li> </ul>	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		ITB 5.3	Stage 1.1	Stage 1.2	Stage 1.3	Stage 1.4	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	
		Conflict of Interest	Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Qualification	Financial Verification	
3	Premier Construction cc JV FA Developers	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shareholder's identification document of Willem Arie van Der Plas in accordance with ITB 12.1(i)(g) of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
5	New Success Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Bidder does not comply with the minimum required construction equipment. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 out of 1 required Bulldozer (8000kg minimum penetration force, 17000kg minimum pry out force (≥ CAT D7R or equivalent) in terms of Section III 3.6 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
16	Gongaleri Investment Cc JV Truth Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Bidder does not comply with the minimum required construction equipment. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 out of 1 required Pneumatic roller in terms of Section III 3.6 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
11	Kettu Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Bidder does not comply with the minimum required construction equipment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 out of 1 required Pneumatic roller</li> <li>2 out of 3 required (20k) Water bowlers (16k provided) roller in terms of Section III 3.6 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
										The Bidder provided a plant hire letter for the above-mentioned equipment

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		ITB 5.3 Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 1.2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 1.4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 2 Evaluation Score	Stage 3 Qualification	
									for another contract (W/OAB/CPBN-02/2021- Periodic maintenance of Gravel Road: Re-Gravelling Contract in the Ojjiwarongo Region (Contract: GRU – OTJ 01& GRU-OTJ 02) and not for this contract) as per Technical Evaluation criteria No.2 on page 31 of the Bidding document.
28	Potenza Investment cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>Bidder failed to comply with the minimum required construction equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 out of 1 required Grid roller</li> <li>0 out of 1 required Pneumatic roller</li> <li>0 out of 3 required (20kl) Water bowsers (16kl provided)</li> <li>1 out of 6 required Tipper Trucks in terms of Section III 3.6 of the bidding document</li> </ul>
29	SOK Print Master Design & Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>Bidder failed to comply with the minimum required construction equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 out of 2 required Graders</li> <li>0 out of 1 required Grid roller</li> <li>0 out of 1 required Pneumatic roller</li> <li>1 out of 3 required (20kl) Water bowsers</li> <li>1 out of 6 required Tipper Trucks (2<sup>ND</sup> Tipper Truck is in HN Hango's name and a letter of lease is not provided)</li> <li>0 out of 1 required Loader</li> <li>0 out of 1 required Bulldozer</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive	
		ITB 5.3 Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 1.2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 1.4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 2 Evaluation Score	Stage 3 Qualification	Stage 4 Financial Verification		
											in terms of Section III 3.6 of the bidding document.
2	Tulu Trading JV Vero Group JV Investment Holdings	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document. <b>The Bidder scored 60 points.</b>
9	Flame Investment cc JV Denga Trading 230 cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document. <b>The Bidder scored 56 points.</b>
12	Quiver Tree Investments One Three CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document. <b>The Bidder scored 60 points.</b>
14	Palladium Civil Engineering Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document. <b>The Bidder scored 36 points.</b>
19	Globex Investment CC JV Pisces Investment Holdings Number Eight CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document. <b>The Bidder scored 51 points.</b>
20	Oshikoto Building Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered		Bidder failed to score above 70 out of 100 points and was disqualified from further evaluation in accordance with

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage							Reason why Bidder was not responsive	
		ITB 5.3	Stage 1.1	Stage 1.2	Stage 1.3	Stage 1.4	Stage 2	Stage 3		Stage 4
		Conflict of Interest	Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Qualification		Financial Verification
8	Brumer Construction CC JV Ojtomuise Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<p>Section III 2(2.1) of the bidding document.  <b>The Bidder scored 50 points.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder failed to provide works of similar nature to the value of N\$33M cumulative over a period of 9 years as required in the bidding document. Instead, the bidder submitted cumulative value of 3 projects of similar works to the value of N\$11,994,962.10 as per Evaluation Criteria 3.3 Experience on page 39 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
10	NGS Investment cc JV Neu-Oluyia Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder failed to provide 50% liquid assets( Statement from a financial institution as proof), instead the bidder only provided 50% credit facility from Build It, contrary to the evaluation criteria 3.3 Financial situation on page 37 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
21	Ndakalimwe Investment JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder failed to provide amounts for contracts of works of similar nature to the value of N\$ 33M cumulative over a period of 9 years as required in the bidding document. Instead, the bidder submitted cumulative value of 3</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive
		ITB 5.3 Conflict of Interest	Stage 1.1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 1.2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 1.3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 1.4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 2 Evaluation Score	Stage 3 Qualification	Stage 4 Financial Verification	
										projects of similar works to the value of N\$17,084,990.00 as per Evaluation Criteria 3.4 Experience on page 39 of the bidding document.
22	Geckoh Fifty-Eight Investment Group PTY Ltd JV Nambian Investment Contracts cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder's BoQ was incomplete and did not submit BoQ for DR3526. The BEC confirmed that the BoQ was sent to the e-mail address provided by the bidder. The bidder attached the acknowledgement of receipt for Clarification No.2 for DR3526 dated 30 March 2021.</li> </ul>
6	Emirates Trading cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
17	Namibbeton Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
30	KL Construction Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
27	John Namusheshe Construction and Investment cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
26	Oqjove Trading & Construction CC JV Royal Contractors cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
33	Adaptive Building Land Construction cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
24	Nexus Civil Pty Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified



Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness As Per Evaluation Stage								Reason why Bidder was not responsive	
		ITB 5.3	Stage 1.1	Stage 1.2	Stage 1.3	Stage 1.4	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4		
34	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified
		Conflict of Interest	Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Qualification	Financial Verification		

#### 14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), the Evaluation Committee has only examined and verified the four (4) lowest priced bids out of six (6) bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Price Difference (N\$)	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$)	Ranking
Bidder 26	Odjove Trading & Construction CC JV Royal Contractors CC	78,547,236.53	(143,071.66)	78,404,164.87	1
Bidder 34	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	78,288,157.24	2,233,280.18	80,521,437.42	2
Bidder 6	Emirates Trading cc	88,594,636.33	(933,053.42)	87,661,582.91	3
Bidder 27	Johan Namusheshe Construction and Investment cc	89,999,904.21	(1,101,037.03)	88,898,867.18	4
Bidder 33	Adaptive Building Land Construction cc	90,772,076.86	540,105.68	91,312,182.54	5
Bidder 17	Namibbeton Pty Ltd	96,390,556.05	None	96,390,556.05	6
Bidder 24	Nexus Civil Pty Ltd	96,464,474.13	None	96,464,474.13	7
Bidder 30	KL Construction Pty Ltd	105,841,203.12	(52,662.29)	105,893,865.42	8

**15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)**

**Selected for Award of Contract:**

**Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved the report in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Address
26	Odjove Trading & Construction CC JV Royal Contractors CC	84 Hosea Kutako Drive, Windhoek North, Windhoek

Description	Currency	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC
Bid Price	N\$	Amount (Including VAT)
Bid Price(s) Read-Out	N\$	78,547,236,53
Corrections of Errors	N\$	(143,071.66)
Discounts	N\$	None
Proposed Award	N\$	78,404,164.87

**Bid price, including VAT:** Seventy-Eight Million, Four Hundred and Four Thousand, One Hundred and Sixty-Four Namibia Dollars and Eighty-Seven Cents (N\$78,404,164.87).

09 June 2022

  
**Amon Ngavetene**  
Chairperson



**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**PROCUREMENT OF PERIODIC MAINTENANCE OF GRAVEL ROADS: RE-GRAVELLING  
CONTRACT IN THE OSHAKATI REGION (CONTRACTS: GRU-OSH02)**

**(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/OAB/CPBN/-03/2021)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....