



Procuring with Integrity

+264 (0) 677 193 | cpbn@cpbn.org.na | www.cpbn.org.na | P.O. Box 23000 | Windhoek, Namibia

**PART A**  
**NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD**

(Section 55 (4) of the Act)

(Regulation 38(1))

**NOTICE FOR** : **PROCUREMENT OF PROVISION OF MAIZE MEAL BLENDING, PACKAGING AND TRANSPORTATION TO REGIONAL DISTRIBUTOR'S WAREHOUSES**

**Date** : **12 January 2022**

**Procurement Reference No** : **G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020**

**Contract Duration** : **Three (3) Years**

The Notice for Selection of Procurement Award is awarded as indicated in Table 1 Below:

Name of the Successful Bidder	Lot(s) Awarded	Contract Price Including VAT	Total Contract Price for Three (3) Years	Address
New Success Investments CC	Lot 1: //Kharas Region	3,030,751.27	<b>48,873,318.73</b>	PO Box 96255 Windhoek
	Lot 3: Hardap Region	3,773,711.97		
	Lot 4: Kavango East Region	20,107,065.70		
	Lot 12: Oshikoto Region	21,961,789.79		
Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	Lot 5: Kavango West Region	17,475,839.64	<b>34,868,549.52</b>	Unit 2 Omugongo Estate, Nida Industrial Park Oshakati
	Lot 11: Oshana Region	17,392,709.88		
Aishaa Investments CC	Lot 7: Kunene Region	8,822,823.34	<b>26,140,749.14</b>	Erf. 0976 Oshakati East
	Lot 9: Omaheke Region	3,426,921.01		
	Lot 14: Zambezi Region	13,891,004.79		
Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC	Lot 8: Ohangwena Region	33,685,390.81	<b>66,921,850.86</b>	Unit 9 Omugongo Industrial Estate Oshakati
	Lot 10: Omusati Region	33,236,460.05		
Alanson Trading Enterprises CC	Lot 2: Erongo Region	3,788,147.79	<b>11,354,630.77</b>	Erf. 1015 Visarend Street Khomasdal, Windhoek
	Lot 6: Khomas Region	5,154,445.33		
	Lot 13: Otjozondjupa Region	2,412,037.66		

- 
- a) Kindly note that, in terms of Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015) and Regulation 38(1) of the Public Procurement Regulations (PPA), you have been selected for the award of this procurement contract referred to herein.
- b) Further note that, this Notice constitutes a final decision of the Board and in the absence of any application(s) for review by any of the unsuccessful bidder(s) to the Review Panel within the 7-day standstill period, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to you.
- c) The standstill period referred to in paragraph (b) starts on 13 January 2022 at 08H00 AM and will end on 19 January 2022 at 17H00 PM (Namibian Time).
- d) Any application(s) for review referred to in paragraph (b) above, can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: [kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na](mailto:kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na)



**PART B**  
**NOTICE TO THE BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD**

<b>BIDDER NO.</b>	<b>NAME OF BIDDER NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD</b>	<b>ADDRESS</b>
1.	Muthakaz investment CC	Erf 2222 Greenwell Matongo Katima Mulilo
2.	Pena Manufacture CC	Erf 1381, Erf 1382, Erf 1387, Okatana Main Road, Oshakati
3.	Nutrifood (Pty) Ltd JV Multiplex Solution CC	10 Jan Marais Street Northern Industry
4.	Degrande Investments CC	Erf 7606 Mooi Street Golgota Windhoek
5.	Block 9 Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Unit 1, Antonios Garten Hendrik Witbooi Street Swakopmund
6.	Degree Power Investment CC	Erf 7141 Prium Street Windhoek
7.	Omhalanga Mills (Pty) Ltd	Ongwediva
9.	Agrimill Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Machita Village, Katima Mulilo
10.	El Mondo Trading CC	<b>Not provided</b>
11.	Ouyamba Investments CC	47 Richter Street Pionerspark Windhoek
12.	Okapandi Trading Enterprises CC	No. 37 Southern Industrial Park Lafrenz
13.	August 26 Logistics (Pty) Ltd	Erf. 215 Industrial Street Lafrenz
14.	MD General Dealer Services CC	Erf. 1645 Queenspark Rundu
15.	Rachida Investments CC	Erf 159 Tutungeni Rundu
19.	Stream Two Properties CC	Erf. 203 Auasblick Windhoek
21.	Halutusane Investments CC	No. 129 Kudu Street Boma Location
22.	Walvisbay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd JV Namibia General Supplies (Pty) Ltd	No. 132 Circumferential Road Walvisbay

- a) The price offered by the bidder(s) referred to in paragraph (a) are amounts of **N\$48,873,318.73, N\$,34,868,549.52 N\$26,140,749.14, N\$66,921,850.86** and **N\$11,354,630.77** (Including VAT) respectively.
- b) The criteria used to select the award of the bidder(s) referred to in paragraph (a) is set out in the bidding document reference number: **G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020**.
- c) Further note that, this Notice constitutes a final decision of the Board and in the absence of any application(s) for review by any of the unsuccessful bidder(s) to the Review Panel within the 7-day standstill period, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to you.
- d) The standstill period referred to in paragraph (c) starts on 13 January 2022 at 08H00 AM and will end on 19 January 2022 at 17H00 PM (Namibian Time).

---

e) Any application(s) for review referred to in paragraph (c) above, can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: [kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na](mailto:kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na)



**P.P Swartz**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**PROCUREMENT OF PROVISION OF MAIZE MEAL BLENDING, PACKAGING AND  
TRANSPORTATION TO REGIONAL DISTRIBUTOR'S WAREHOUSES ON BEHALF OF  
THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS & CULTURE**

**(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Notice for Selection of Procurement Award  
dated \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to immediately return the signed acknowledgment  
of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))**

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses on Behalf of MoEAC
2.	<b>Procurement Reference No</b>	G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	09 December 2021
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses
6.	<b>Estimated Cost:</b>	N\$286,391,721.00
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Ministry of Education Arts and Culture
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open National Bidding
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	09 October 2020
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	15 February 2021
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	15 February 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Twenty-Two (22)

**13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)**

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1						Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation		
10	El Mondo Trading CC	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The Bid Submission form on page 52-53 of the SBD is not duly filled in, and the form is not stamped as required.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.1 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The Bidder submitted a BF for Table A under Section IV on page 61-62, that is not duly completed, and page 62 is not signed as required in ITB 23.2 on page 17 of the SBD. The Bidder referred to an attachment, which is not attached as indicated.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.3 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist							
14	MD General Dealer Services CC	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The Bid Submission form on page 52-54 of the SBD is not duly filled in, and the form is not stamped as required. <b>Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.1 of the standard bidding document.</b>
1	Muthakaz investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide a sample of maize blend as required. <b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</b>  The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims. <b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</b>





No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist							
3	Nutrifood (Pty) Ltd & Multiplex Solution CC JV	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to provide a share certificate to establish the shareholding percentage and the identification document for one shareholder was not submitted.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.1 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to comply with the requirement, as the joint venture partner "Multiplex Solution CC" did not complete the undertaking form as required on page 56 of the SBD.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.10 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>	



No.	Bidder' s Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation						
5	Block 9 Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a commitment letter to source locally produced goods from the respective region(s).</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.11 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims.</p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Responsive Evaluation							
11	Ouyamba Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims. Phase 1: <b>Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</b>
12	Okapandi Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required. Phase 1: <b>Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</b>

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Responsive/Non-Responsive							
13	August 26 Logistics (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder provided a letter of intent from the insurance and not a letter of commitment. Furthermore, the letter of intent submitted from the bank does not indicate credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to comply with section 27 (1) (a) (i) read together with section 28 (2) and section 2 (b) of the of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015, as one of the shareholders who owns 25% is not a Namibian citizen.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.1 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>	



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1						Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation		
									<p>The bidder submitted a written special power of attorney referenced "Provision of Protein, Sugar, Salt and Transportation to Blenders' Warehouses; G/ONB/CPBN-04/2020" and not "Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses".</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.4 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation						
15	Rachida Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to submit a Bid Securing Declaration as required in ITB 22 of the SBD.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.2 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder failed to submit page 56 of the undertaking on the part of the bidder on that salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws, remuneration Order, and Award.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.10 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation				
21	Halutusane Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered				<p>The bidder submitted a Letter of intent from the bank which does not indicate the required 2% credit line and there is no commitment letter submitted for the short-term insurance as required.</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder submitted a letter of intent from the bank which does not indicate the credit line as required and the letter states that "This letter is issued for tender purpose only and has no monetary value attached to it".</p> <p><b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</b></p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation						
22	Walvisbay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd JV Namibia General Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		Responsive	Walvisbay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd failed to provide the Affirmative Compliance Certificate as required from both parties in a joint venture. <b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.7 of the standard bidding document.</b>  The bidder failed to provide a commitment letter for short term insurance, and a letter of intent from a bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims as required. <b>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</b>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist	Financial Evaluation			
9	Agrimill Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive			The bidder failed to provide proof of credit facilities net of other contractual requirements for a minimum amount of 2% of contract value per regional lot. <b>Phase 1: Financial Information Checklist Evaluation requirement no. 4.1 of the standard bidding document.</b>
2	Pena Manufacture CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified			The bidder provided a letter of intent to supply Soya Protein and Maize Meal to Degree Power Investment CC who participated in the same bid. Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) read together with Section 68 (1) (b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1		Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive		
4	Degrande Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	The bidder provided a Lease Agreement for Transport to Degree Power Investment CC in terms of requirement no. 5.5, Technical Evaluation (TE) Phase 2 on page 39 of the SBD. Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) read together with Section 68 (1) (b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.	
6	Degree Power Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	The owner of Degree Power Investment CC has the same surname, physical address and postal address on the company registration documents (founding statement) as Degrande Investments CC and Stream Two Properties CC. Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) read together with Section 68 (1) (b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 2	Phase 3	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score		
7	Omhalanga Mills (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The bidder failed to meet the minimum score of 70 points and only scored 65 points as required in <b>Phase 2: Technical Evaluation Score of the standard bidding document.</b></p> <p>The bidder provided a letter of intent to enter into an agreement for the Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses to the following two (2) bidders who participated in the same bid.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sash Trading and Earthworks CC</li> <li>✓ Aisha Investments CC</li> </ul> <p>Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) read together with Section 68 (1) (b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.</p>



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1					Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive			
19	Stream Two Properties CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified		The bidder provided a Warehouse Lease Agreement to Degrande Investments CC and Degree Power Investment CC in terms of requirement no. 5.4, Technical Evaluation (TE) Phase 2 on page 39 of the SBD. Also, the submitted CV for the owner revealed that he is a 100% owner of Build it Omuthiya, Build it Okahandja and Spar Omuthiya. The aforesaid businesses owned by the bidder, issued two (2) reference letters in terms of requirement no. 5.1, Technical Evaluation (TE) Phase 2 on page 39 of the SBD to Degrande Investments CC and Degree Power Investment CC who are participating in the same bid. Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) read together with Section 68 (1) (b) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015.	
8	New Success Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist							
16	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
17	Aishaa Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
18	Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
20	Alason Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified

**14. Best Evaluated Bid(s)**

**Selected for Award of Contract:  
Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder Name	Address	Lots Awarded Per Bidder	Total Bid Price Quoted for Three (3) Years (N\$)	Total Bid Price Corrected for Three (3) Years (N\$)
New Success Investments CC	PO Box 96255, Windhoek	Lot 1: //Kharas Region	3,827,788.15	3,030,751.27
		Lot 3: Hardap Region	4,766,135.08	3,773,711.97
		Lot 4: Kavango East Region	25,394,887.58	20,107,065.70
		Lot 12: Oshikoto Region	27,737,373.09	21,961,789.79
		<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>48,873,318.73</b>	
Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	PO Box 74, Oshakati Unit 2 Omugongo Estate, Nida Industrial Park Oshakati	Lot 5: Kavango West Region	21,331,042.80	17,475,839.64
		Lot 11: Oshana Region	20,917,972.20	17,392,709.88
		<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>34,868,549.52</b>	
Aishaa Investments CC	PO Box 534, Ondangwa Erf. 0976, Oshakati East	Lot 7: Kunene Region	10,810,942.12	8,822,823.34
		Lot 9: Omaheke Region	4,186,783.42	3,426,921.01
		Lot 14: Zambezi Region	16,971,102.70	13,891,004.79
		<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>26,140,749.14</b>	
		Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC	PO Box 3193, Oshakati	Lot 8: Ohangwena Region Lot 10: Omusati Region

Bidder Name	Address	Lots Awarded Per Bidder	Total Bid Price Quoted for Three (3) Years (N\$)	Total Bid Price Corrected for Three (3) Years (N\$)
	Unit 9 Omugongo Industrial Estate Oshakati		<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>66,921,850.86</b>
Alason Trading Enterprises CC	Erf. 1015 Visarend Street Khomasdal, Windhoek	Lot 2: Erongo Region	4,006,039.14	3,788,147.79
		Lot 6: Khomas Region	5,433,216.00	5,154,445.33
		Lot 13: Otjozondjupa Region	8,056,715.64	2,412,037.66
		<b>Total Amount Awarded</b>	<b>11,354,630.77</b>	

11 January 2022

  
**P.P. Swartz**  
 Chairperson

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**PROCUREMENT OF  
PROVISION OF MAIZE MEAL, BLENDING, PACKAGING AND TRANSPORTATION TO  
REGIONAL DISTRIBUTOR'S WAREHOUSES**

**(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....

