

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW OTUZEMBA PRIMARY SCHOOL IN OPUWO, KUNENE REGION: PHASE 1
2.	Procurement Reference Number	W/ONB/CPBN -04/2019
3.	Date of Submission Report	04 June 2020
4.	Contract Number	W/ONB/CPBN -04/2019
5.	Scope of Contract	CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW OTUZEMBA PRIMARY SCHOOL IN OPUWO, KUNENE REGION: PHASE 1
6.	Estimated Cost	N\$ 58, 736,752.10(Fifty-Eight Million Seven Hundred and Thirty-six thousand, Seven Hundred and Fifty-Two Namibia Dollars and Ten cents)
7.	Funding Agency	Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
8.	Procurement Method Used:	Open National Bidding (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	11 December 2019
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	26 February 2020
11.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	FIFTEEN (15)
12.	Date and Place of Bid Opening	26 FEBRUARY 2020 AT CENTRAL PROCUREMENT BOARD OF NAMIBIA, MANDUME PARK, 1 TEINERT STREET, FIRST FLOOR.

13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Preliminary Examination of Bids	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Scoring	
1.	Amupolo Building Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit a written statement by Power of Attorney (or notary statement, etc.) as per ITB Sub-clause 12.1 (e)
2.	Sanli Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit a written statement by Power of Attorney (or notary statement, etc.) as per ITB Sub-clause 12.1 (e) Bidder's letter of bid is not duly filled in on page 48 of the bidding document. Bidder submitted an incomplete Priced Bill of Quantities. Most pages of Bill of Quantities were not priced, page 1-192. Bidder did not submit a Bid Securing Declaration. Bidder did not submit a duly filled in, signed Technical Offer / Proposal.
3.	WT Business Group (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder's Priced Bill of Quantities page 95 and cover page of Bill of Quantities are not initiated

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Preliminary Examination of Bids	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Scoring	
4.	Cosmo Construction and Civils	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder's Letter of Bid is not duly filled in. Refer to page 48 of the bidding document (as per Clause ITB 13.1)
5.	TJ Civil Technology cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered Not	Not Considered Not	Not Considered Not	Not Considered Not	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not initial all pages of the bidding document, pages 34, 35 and 39 of the bidding document (as per ITB Clause 21.2)
6.	Mukapa Investment cc JV Magnetize Investment cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not initial page 43 of the bidding document (as per ITB Clause 21.2) JV agreement was not signed by the parties as per ITB Clause 21.2.,
7.	Penge Investments cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial Page 17 of the bidding document (As per ITB Clause 21.2)
8.	Shillifa Property Developments cc	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not fill in form for Historical Contract Non-Performance, page 63 of the bidding document

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE						Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Preliminary Examination of Bids	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Scoring		
9.	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted an expired Certificate of Affirmative Action Compliance. The certificate expiration date was on 25 February 2020 a day before the closing date of the bid, on 26 February 2020. The bidder did not submit a confirmation letter from the Employment Equity Commission stating that the bidder submitted their affirmative action report. 	
10.	Danny's Building Construction	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit a Preliminary Works Programme encompassing all aspects of the works as per ITB 12.1 (j) 	
11.	Radial Truss-Geckoh Investment 58 JV	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Specialist Installation contractors did not submit letters and or practical completion or completion certificates as per the bid evaluation criteria under Section III, item 1.3 (6). Bidder did not submit a letter undertaking that 100% unskilled and semi-skilled workers will be employed from the Kunene Region, i.e. the area where the project is located. (As required in the Technical Evaluation Criteria, Table 1.3, item 10). 	

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Preliminary Examination of Bids	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Scoring	
12.	Ombandjele Construction cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit signed letter of reference and or practical completion or completion certificates for at least three projects as per the bid evaluation criteria under Section III, item 1.3 (4). Bidder did not submit a written statement that the bidder's progress report will be issued to the Project Manager before any progress meeting. (As required in the Technical Evaluation Criteria, Table 1.3, item 5). Bidder and the Specialist Installation contractors do not have letters of reference and or practical completion or completion certificates as per the bid evaluation criteria under Section III, item 1.3 (6). Bidder did not provide proof in the form of testimonial letters and or practical completion or completion certificates for projects amounting to a cumulative financial amount of: N\$ 30 Million with a minimum project value of \$5 million, under Section III, item 1.3 (12)
13.	NBT Quality Services JV Ongushe Investments	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not provide proof in the form of testimonial letters and or practical completion or completion certificates for projects amounting to a cumulative financial amount of: N\$ 30 Million with a minimum project value of \$5 million. Under Section III, item 1.3 (12);

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE						Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Preliminary Examination of Bids	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Scoring		
14.	Omuthiya Classic Investments cc	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bidder Not Disqualified	
15.	Adaptive Building Land Construction cc	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bidder Not Disqualified	

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID(S):

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$)	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
1	ADAPTIVE BUILDING LAND CONSTRUCTION CC	N\$ 44,969,838.33	N\$44,969,834.31	None	1
2	OMUTHIYA CLASSIC INVESTMENT CC	N\$ 45,651,569.59	None	None	2

15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)

Award of Contract:

Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder:

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved the bidder mentioned below in terms of Section 9 (1) ((l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Name: ADAPTIVE BUILDING LAND CONSTRUCTION CC

Address: 353 OMEYA GOLF ESTATE, WINDHOEK, NAMIBIA

Description		ADAPTIVE BUILDING LAND CONSTRUCTION CC
Bid Price	Currency	Amount (including VAT)
Bid Price(s) Read-Out	N\$	N\$44,969,838.33
Corrections of Errors	YES	N\$44,969,834.31
Discounts	N\$	None
Proposed Award	N\$	N\$44,969,834.31

16th June 2020


PP SWARTZ
CHAIRPERSON

