

PART A

NOTICE FOR SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD

(Section 55 (4) of the Act)

(Regulation 38(1))

NOTICE FOR	:	CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW HEALTH CENTRE AT AUSSENKEHR, IN THE //KARAS REGION ON BEHALF OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
Date	:	18 January 2022
Procurement Reference No	:	W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021
Contract Duration	:	Twenty (20) Months
Contract Price	:	N\$69,915,450.52 (Including VAT)
Name of Successful Bidder	:	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC
Address	:	90 Lasuriet Street, Khomasdal Windhoek

- Kindly note that, in terms of Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015) and Regulation 38(1) of the Public Procurement Regulations (PPA), you have been selected for the award of this procurement contract referred to herein.
- Further note that, this Notice constitutes a final decision of the Board and in the absence of any application(s) for review by any of the unsuccessful bidder(s) to the Review Panel within the 7-day standstill period, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to you.
- The standstill period referred to in paragraph (b) starts on 19 January 2022 at 08H00 AM and will end on 25 January 2022 at 17H00 PM (Namibian Time).
- Any application(s) for review referred to in paragraph (b) above, can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na



PART B

NOTICE TO THE BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD

BIDDER NO.	NAME OF BIDDER NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD	ADDRESS
3	JJF Investment CC	138, Mariental
8	Ashy Trading Enterprises cc	P.O Box 1471, Orandjemund
16	Afrideca Construction Namibia (Pty) Ltd	14 Nickel Street, Prosperita
21	Andjamba Constuction CC	Erf 435 Aundjomba Anguuo
2	Ninive General Services CC JV Y-NNEB Trading	PO BOX 61116 Katutura
13	CK Heydt Civils CC	Erf 1234, CR Gold and River Street, Keetmanshoop
18	August Twenty Six Construction (Pty) Ltd	Po Box 81581, Olympia
1	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Po Box 97237, Maerua Mall
5	Ipilak Construction CC	Unit 8, Painic Square, Nickel Street, Prosperita, Windhoek
11	Ekodi Investment CC	Erf 450, Main Road Omuthiya
12	Alugodhi Engineering and Constrution CC	Erf 2267 Omumbonde Street Okuryamgava, Windhoek
14	Siku Investment CC JV Amutanga Trading Enterprises	PO BOX 31908, Windhoek
17	Tatiana trading Enterprises CC	215, Industrial Street, Lafrenz, Windhoek
20	NBT Quality Services CC	PO BOX 481, Walvisbay
22	Luka Roads Rail and Civils CC JV Kai Engineering and Fabrication CC	Unit 13, Windton Park, Independence Avenue, Windhoek
19	Omatende Trading CC	PO BOX 9853 Pelican Square, Hochland Park, Windhoek
23	New Success Investment CC JV Omidi Trading CC	Unit 2, No59 Taspeur, Windhoek West, Windhoek
26	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty) Ltd	Klein-Windhoek, Windhoek
4	ETN Technical Services CC	Erf 5521, Extension 12 Ongwediva
6	Ibuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Erf 2902, Mine Hold, Omuthiya
7	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	6100, Windhoek West, Windhoek
9	Maperes Investment CC	PO Box 513, Keetmanshoop
15	Nexus Building Contractors (Pty) Ltd	C/O President and Krenz, Outjo,
24	Capital Technical Services CC	Erf 2225 Bessmer Street,

BIDDER NO.	NAME OF BIDDER NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD	ADDRESS
		Southern Industrial Area, Windhoek
25	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	Erf 132, Nika Shimbuli Street, Katutura, Windhoek

- a) The price offered by the bidder referred to in paragraph (a) is an amount of **N\$69,915,450.52** (Including VAT).
- b) The criteria used to select the award of the bidder(s) referred to in paragraph (a) is set out in the bidding document reference number: **W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021**.
- c) Further note that, this Notice constitutes a final decision of the Board and in the absence of any application(s) for review by any of the unsuccessful bidder(s) to the Review Panel within the 7-day standstill period, the Accounting Officer will award the contract to you.
- d) The standstill period referred to in paragraph (c) starts on 19 January 2022 at 08H00 AM and will end on 25 January 2022 at 17H00 PM (Namibian Time).
- e) Any application(s) for review referred to in paragraph (b) above, can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: kaarina.kashonga@mof.gov.na

7 
P.P Swartz
CHAIRPERSON



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

NOTICE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW HEALTH CENTRE AT AUSSENKEHR, IN THE //KARAS REGION ON BEHALF OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021)

I/We _____ hereby acknowledge receipt of this Notice for Selection of Procurement Award dated _____ and undertake to immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp:

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Construction of the New Health Centre at Aussenkehr, in the //Karas Region on behalf of the Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS)
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021
3.	Date of Submission of Report	25 November 2021
4.	Contract Number	W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021
5.	Scope of Contract	Construction of the New Health Centre at Aussenkehr, in the //Karas Region
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$ 61 100 209.00 (Inc. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS)
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	23 July 2021
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	29 September 2021
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	29 September 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Twenty-six (26)

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Technical Score	Financial Requirement	
3	JJF Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The BEC noted that there was discrepancy with the initials throughout the whole document, the BEC concluded that the bidding document was signed by two different people with two different initials and not by the duly authorized person as required in Evaluation Criteria 1.1, Item No. 3. Amendment No.1, dated 09 September 2021 was not duly acknowledged as stipulated in Evaluation Criteria 1.1. item No. 3.
8	Ashy Trading Enterprises cc	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendment No 2, dated, 09 September 2021 which consisted of eight (8) pages was not duly acknowledged by the bidder as stipulated in Evaluation Criteria 1.1. item No. 3.
16	Afrideca Construction Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the entire company profile booklet consisting of nineteen (19) pages as stipulated in Evaluation Criteria 1.1. item No. 3.
21	Andjamba Constuction CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the entire book of supporting documents (which supporting documents contains mandatory and significant documents such as ID's and educational documents). The bidder only initialed the bidding document and bill of quantities, contrary to Evaluation Criteria 1.1. item No.3

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Technical Score	Stage6 Financial Requirement	
2	Ninive General Services CC JV Y- NNEB Trading	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a valid proof of citizenship in a form of an ID for the owner of JV Partner Y-NNEB Trading, contrary to Evaluation Criteria 1.3. item No.1. The bidder submitted company registration or Founding statement documents for both JV partners however, only documents for Ninive General Services CC were certified and JV partner Y-NNEB Trading were not certified, contrary to Evaluation Criteria 1.3. item No.2. Only one partner to the JV submitted an original good Standing Tax Certificate. The Tax certificate submitted on behalf of Ninive General Services CC was not in their name but rather in the name of (CPN Construction CC) which is not a party to this bid, contrary to Evaluation Criteria 1.3. item No.3.
13	CK Heydt Civils CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a letter from SEENA Employment Equity confirming that they are a client of SSENA which the letter is not valid letter in terms of a valid Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, or proof from Employment Equity Commissioner. The letter does not serve as an exemption in terms of section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998. Therefore, the bidder was deemed non-responsive in terms of Evaluation Criteria 1.3. Item No.5.
18	August Twenty Six Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidders' shareholders and owners could not be established as the supporting documents to

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Technical Score	Financial Requirement	
1	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>prove ownership/shareholding are not part of the bid document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The directors of August Twenty Six Construction (Pty) Ltd have submitted ID's which are not certified, contrary to Evaluation Criteria 1.3., item No.1. The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out over the last ten years, with only one project valued at N\$ 10 million (Construction of New Regional Office for the Ministry of ICT in Kavango west) however, the rest of the projects were not amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$50 million as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4., item No.2.
5	Ipilak Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out over the last ten years with only one of the projects over the required N\$ 10 million. However, the project was not accompanied by a completion Certificate nor a reference letter. Therefore, the bidder did not have projects amounting to a cumulative financial amount of: N\$ 50 million as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4. item No.2.
11	Ekodi Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out over the last ten years however, the projects were not of similar nature (Building Construction). The bidder only submitted one project of similar nature which was the renovation and refurbishment of Mariental High

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Technical Score	Financial Requirement	
12	Alugodhi Engineering and Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of works carried out, however, the majority of the projects were not of similar nature (Building Construction); The bidder submitted building construction projects with completion certificate however, all of them combined, did not amount to a cumulative financial amount of N\$50 million and further, none of the building projects had an amount higher than the required N\$10 million. The majority of the projects done were of Roads Maintenance, contrary to Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4., item No 2.
14	Siku Investment CC JV Amutanga Trading Enterprises	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder provided a list of similar works, which the majority were construction of single even dwellings, not amounting to the required N\$10 million per project requirement and/ or the accumulative financial amount of N\$ 50 million. The only project considered was the construction of new PHC Clinic at Elagu valued at N\$12,204,193.44, completed in Nov 2016. The bidder was deemed non-responsive as he did not do projects to the accumulative financial amount of N\$50 million as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4., item No.2.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Technical Score	Stage6 Financial Requirement	
17	Tatiana trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out over the last ten years however, the projects were not amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$50 million the highest project done was the Renovation and upgrading of Ongha Secondary School, Project Amount: N\$14,971,308.35, Year: May 2021. The bidder was deemed non-responsive in required in the technical Evaluation criteria 1.4.2.
20	NBT Quality Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out however, most of the projects were below the required N\$10 million. The remaining projects done were construction of Power lines which could not be considered as they were not of similar nature. Furthermore, the bidder did not have projects amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$50 million as required in the Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4. item No. 2.
22	Luka Roads Rail and Civils CC JV Kai Engineering and Fabrication CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of similar works carried out over the last ten years however, the projects that were considered as similar in nature came to a cumulative financial amount of N\$34.4 million. The bidder did not have projects amounting to a cumulative financial amount of: N\$50 million as required in the Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4. item No. 2.
19	Omatende Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of equipment and plant to be hired from Unik Construction

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Technical Score	Financial Requirement	
								Engineer to complete the proposed works, however, they did not indicate as to whether they own or will be leasing two (2) Dumpers, as listed in the tables on page 43 of the bidding document as per Evaluation Scores 1.4. item No.2.
23	New Success Investment CC JV Omidu Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not obtain the minimum Evaluation Score of 70% or more out of 100.
26	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not obtain the minimum Evaluation Score of 70% or more out of 100.
4	ETN Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
6	Ibuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
7	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
9	Maperes Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
10	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
15	Nexus Building Contractors (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
24	Capital Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
25	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified

14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) the Evaluation Committee has only examined and verified the five (5) lowest priced bids out of eight (8) bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Bid Price After Arithmetic Corrections (N\$)	Discount Offered (N\$)	Final Bid Price (N\$)	Ranking
10	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC	72,077,784.04	72,077,784.04	(2,162,333.52)	69,915,450.52	1
7	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	70,651,945.79	70,651,945.79	None	70,651,945.79	2
9	Maperes Investment CC	70,663,817.53	70,663,817.53	None	70,663,817.53	3
24	Capital Technical Services CC	70,918,555.90	70,918,555.90	None	70,918,555.90	4
4	ETN Technical Services CC	74,115,037.68	74,115,037.68	None	74,115,037.68	5
25	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	74,415,264.41	Not Verified	None	74,415,264.41	6
6	Ibuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	75,303,944.52	Not Verified	None	75,303,944.52	7
15	Nexus Building Contractors (Pty) Ltd	81,949,439.16	Not Verified	None	81,949,439.16	8

Disclaimer: Discount Offered by Bidder No. 10: (Florida Trading cc JV Penatu Trading cc) was not captured in the Bid Opening Committee (BOC) Report as it was not written on the cover page of the bid document. The discount was included in the bid submission form on page 48 of the standard bidding document as required in ITB 15.

15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)

Select For Award of Contract:

Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, The Board approved the report in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the PPA.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Address
10	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC	90 Lasuriet Street, Khomasdal Windhoek

Description	Currency	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC
Bid Price	N\$	Amount (Including VAT)
Bid Price(s) Read-Out	N\$	72,077,784.04

Description	Currency	Florida Trading CC JV Penatu Trading CC
Bid Price	N\$	Amount (Including VAT)
Corrections of Errors	N\$	None
Discounts	N\$	(2,162,333.52)
Proposed Award	N\$	69,915,450.52

Bid price, including VAT: Sixty-Nine Million Nine Hundred Fifteen Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Namibia Dollars and Fifty-Two Cents (N\$ 69,915,450.52).

17 January 2022


P.P. Swartz
Chairperson



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW HEALTH CENTRE AT AUSSENKEHR, IN THE //KARAS REGION ON BEHALF OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES (MOHSS)

(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: (W/OAB/CPBN-08/2021))

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: