

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1	Name of Procurement	CONSTRUCTION OF NKURENKURU VOCATIONAL TRAINING CENTRE, IN KAVANGO WEST REGION, 1B
2	Procurement Reference Number	W/ONB/CPBN -08/2019
3	Date of Submission Report	25 June 2020
4	Contract Number	W/ONB/CPBN -07/2019
5	Scope of Contract	CONSTRUCTION OF NKURENKURU VOCATIONAL TRAINING CENTRE, IN KAVANGO WEST REGION, 1B
6.	Cost Estimate	N\$40,212,565.00 (Forty Million, Two Hundred and Twelve Thousand, Five Hundred and Fifty-Five Namibia Dollars)
7.	Funding Agency	Namibia Training Authority
8.	Procurement Method Used:	Open National Bidding (Section 29(a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	12 December 2019
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	04 March 2020
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	04 March 2020, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	TWENTY-NINE (29)

13. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	
1	MD General Dealer Services JV Braver Investment cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has submitted a Joint Venture Agreement that does not indicate the Percentages of the JV partners as required in Section III-Evaluation Criteria, 1. Evaluation item 4, and as stipulated in 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid on page 23 item 7 in the bidding document. Bidder has not submitted a Power of Attorney for the signatory of the Joint Venture as required in Section III-Evaluation Criteria, 1. Evaluation item 4, and as stipulated in 1.1 Legal

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							Admissibility Evaluation Grid on page 23 item 7 in the bidding document.
2.	ETN Technical Services cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document, Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
3.	The Kongom Group (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit the proof of ownership of the Mokaxwa Family trust which is the only shareholder of the Company

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE						Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation		
							as required in the bidding document, Section III-Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid (page 23).	
4.	RJD Enterprise cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document, Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6). 	
5.	Joevani Properties cc JV Donu Trading cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable 	

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document, in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
6.	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no proof of ownership of Spitzkoppe as there is no attached Article of Association (company registration) or Shareholders certificate, as required in ITB13.1(d).

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
7.	Ombandjele Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Identification Document (ID) of the owners are not certified by the Namibian Police or Commissioner of Oath as required in ITB 13.1 (d) but they are certified by a bank superintendent.
8.	Etemo Trading Enterprise cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted copies of certified copies of the owners' ID copies, contrary to ITB13.1(d).
9.	Onghushe Investment cc JV Tatiana Trading Enterprise cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted an undertaking on his/her part that they will comply to with the provisions of the labour act but did not refer to sub-clause 52.1 of the general conditions of contract. as requested in the bidding



No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							document in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
10.	Adaptive Building Land Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has submitted an invalid certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, or proof from Employment Equity Commissioner that bidder is not a relevant employer, or exemption issued in terms of Section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998. Certificate expired on 23 October 2019, contrary to ITB13.1(d)
11.	Nexus Building Contractors (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit the Identification Document of one of

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							its Shareholders, contrary to ITB13.1 (d).
12.	NCCS Investment Holding (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6). There is no article of association attached to indicate the bidder's

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							shareholders as requested in ITB 13.1 (d)(1).
13.	Capital Technical Services cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid item 6 on page 23, together with ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
14.	Betonstein Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
15.	ID Building Contractors cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws as required in the bidding document in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
16.	Foma Trading Enterprises cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Good Standing TAX certificate is invalid as it has expired on 25 February 2020, contrary to ITB13.1(d).
17.	Dollo's Building Construction cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The founding statement submitted by the bidder is incomplete; the page that indicates the members/owners of the company is missing. ITB 13.1 (d)(1), on page 10 of the bidding document required a bidder to have a valid company Registration Certificate.
18.	Jou Jou Trading Enterprises cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an undertaking on his/her part that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the



No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							relevant laws as required in the bidding document, Section III – Evaluation Criteria, 1.1 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid, item 6 on page 23, as required in ITB 13.1 (d) (6).
19.	FLD Trading Enterprises cc	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Identification Document (ID) copies of the owners are not certified by the Namibian Police or Commissioner of Oath, as requested in ITB13.1(d).
20.	LMH Investment cc	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Page 27 of the BOQ was not initialized by the bidder as requested in ITB 21.2.
21.	TJ Civil Technology cc	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bid securing declaration was not duly filled in as requested in ITB 14.1. The bidder did not fill in the Public entity name and

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							address as requested on Page 37 of the bidding document.
22.	FA Developers cc JV Premier Construction cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Site Manager's qualifications are not as stipulated or required for this position. The Site manager is required to have a Degree or National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Construction as per ITB 6.3 (d). Contrary, the Bidder submitted a CV of site manager with a Degree in Quantity Surveying.
23.	New Success Investment cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not submit certified copies of Qualifications for the Site Manager and site agent as stipulated in the Bid document on page 24, 1.3



No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							Technical Evaluation Criteria, item 2.
24.	Mukapa Investment cc JV Magnetize Investment cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Site Manager's qualifications are not as stipulated or required for this position. The Site manager is required to have a Degree or National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Construction as per ITB6.3 (d). Contrary, the Bidder submitted a CV of site manager with a Welders qualification from NIIMT.
25.	James and Young Trading Enterprises CC	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Site Manager's qualifications are not as stipulated or required for this position. The Site manager is required to have a Degree or National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Construction

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	Legal Admissibility	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE				Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
			Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							as per ITB6.3(d). Contrary, the Bidder submitted a CV of site manager with a Degree in Quantity Surveying.
26.	Penge Investment cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Site Manager's qualifications are not as stipulated or required for this position. The Site manager is required to have a Degree or National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Construction. The Bidder did not submit a CV of the proposed site manager, as requested in ITB6.3 (d).
27.	Omuthiya Classic Investment cc	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Site Manager's qualifications are not as stipulated or required for this position. The Site manager is required to have a Degree or

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
							National Diploma in Civil Engineering or Construction as per ITB6.3(d). Contrary, the bidder submitted a CV of site manager with a National Higher Certificate in Civil Engineering.
28.	Danny's Building Construction cc	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not submit nor attach reference letters and or practical completion or completion certificates for at least two (2) projects from relevant Project Managers who supervised the works as requested in the bidding document on page 24, 1.3 Technical Evaluation Criteria, item 4.

No	Name of the Bidder(s)	RESPONSIVENESS AS PER EVALUATION STAGE					Reasons Why Bidder Is Not Responsive
		Legal Admissibility	Preliminary Examination of Bids	Technical Evaluation of Bids	Technical Evaluation Scoring	Financial Evaluation	
29.	Neu-olulya Trading CC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bidder not disqualified



14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID(S):

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (N\$) (Including VAT)	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$) (Including VAT)	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
1	NEU-OLULYA TRADING CC	N\$35,644,239.42	N\$35,561,067.67	None	1

15. Best Evaluated Bid (s)

Award of Contract:

Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder:

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved the bidder mentioned below in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Name: NEU-OLULYA TRADING CC

Address: P O BOX 91195, WINDHOEK, NAMIBIA

Description		NEU-OLULYA TRADING CC
Bid Price	Currency	Amount (including VAT)
Bid Price(s) Read-Out	N\$	N\$ 35,644,239.42
Corrections of Errors	N\$	N\$ 35,561,067.67
Discounts	N\$	None
Proposed Award	N\$	N\$ 35,561,067.67

10 July 2020


P.P SWARTZ
CHAIRPERSON