



Procuring with integrity

+264 (61) 447 700 pr@cpb.org.na www.cpb.org.na P.O. Box 23650 Maidams Park, 1 Teinert Street, Windhoek, Namibia

AWARD OF PROCUREMENT CONTRACT

NOTICE IN TERMS OF SECTION 55(8) OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT ACT, 15 OF 2015

TO ALL BIDDERS:

RE: RENDERING OF SECURITY SERVICES TO THE MINISTRY OF HELATH AND SOCIAL SERVICES (PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. NCS/ONB/CPBN-0/2019)

Pursuant to section 55(8) of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 and in line with ITB 34.1 of the Bidding Document of the above-referenced bid, this Notice serve to notify all bidders who submitted bids for this bid, that following the evaluation process, the contract has been awarded to:

Lot No	Contract Rates Over Three (3) Years Inclusive VAT (N\$)			Name of the Successful Bidder(s)	Bidder Address
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		
1	14-00	14-00	14-00	VICMAC Security Services CC	Erf 8456, Sam Nujoma Drive Windhoek
2	17-00	17-00	17-00	OMBANDJE Security Services CC	ERF 97, John Tjikuaa Street, Okakarara
3	13-30	14-30	15-30	WINDHOEK Security Services	Erf 8521 Abraham Mashego, Street, Katutura
4	13-90	13-90	13-90	OVAKUATJIVI Trading Enterprises CC	Erf 427 1/4 Mashishi Street, Otjomuise
5	15-00	15-00	15-00	KHAIBASEN Security Services CC	Erf 2960 C/O Dr L Amadhila And Werft Street
6	14-00	14-00	14-00	PREMIER Investment No.25 CC	Erf 1792, Sam Nuyoma Drive, Safari
7	13-35	13-35	13-35	OMEYA Investments CC	Erf 8456, Sam Nujoma Drive Windhoek
8	12-80	N\$13-70	14-65	INDEPENDENT Security Services CC	Erf 4184, Mayo Street Windhoek West, Windhoek
9	14-37	14-37	14-37	Mukwe Security Services	Erf 415, Nkarapamwe, Rundu, Kavango West.
10	13-50	14-18	14-89	NELITO Investments CC	Erf 9, John Meinert Street, Windhoek West

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Mr P.P. Swartz (Chairperson), Ms L. Ramakutla (Deputy Chairperson), Ms J. !Garus-Oas, Ms E. Nghiidipaa, Ms H. Herman, Mr O. Nangolo, Mr E. Shilongo, Mr M. Kambulu, Mr. A. Ngavetene, Ms. E. Shiponeni (Secretary to the Board)

Lot No	Contract Rates Over Three (3) Years Inclusive VAT (N\$)			Name of the Successful Bidder(s)	Bidder Address
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		
11	13-50	14-04	14-60	NAMIBIA Protection Services	No.2 Eros Road, Windhoek
12	13-00	13-00	13-00	TRIPLE One Investments CC	Erf 1164 Ompunmbu Location, Oshakati
13	12-70	13-00	13-00	SHIKUVULE Trading CC	Erf 1171, Ondjondjo, Street, Ondangwa

The contract will be for a period of Three (3) years (36 months).

For more details, please log on to: www.cpb.org.na

22 July 2021


 P.P. Swartz
 CHAIRPERSON



RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGMENT FORM

RENDERING OF SECURITY SERVICES FOR THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES: PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. NCS/ONB/CPBN-05/2019

I/we _____
herewith acknowledge receipt of the attached Procurement Award
dated _____ and undertake to immediately return it to CPBN as
proof of receipt.

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Company Stamp: _____



Procuring with Integrity

+264 (61) 447 700 pr@cpb.org.na www.cpb.org.na P.O. Box 23650 Mandume Park, 1 Teinert Street, Windhoek, Namibia

**REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT
(SECTION 55(8))**

**(AS A RESULT OF APPLICATIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND REVIEW
BEFORE THE REVIEW PANEL)**

Name of Procurement : RENDERING OF SECURITY SERVICES
FOR THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND
SOCIAL SERVICES

Procurement Reference No : NCS/ONB/CPBN-05/2019

Date of Submission of Report : 12 FEBRUARY 2021

Contract Number : NCS/ONB/CPBN-05/2019

Scope of Contract : PROCUREMENT OF RENDERING
SECURITY SERVICES FOR THE
MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL
SERVICES


- 1. ESTIMATED COST:** N\$ 220,000,00.00
- 2. FUNDING AGENCY:** MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES
- 3. PROCUREMENT METHOD USED:** Open National Bidding (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)
- 4. DATE OF INVITATION OF BIDS:** 03 February 2020
- 5. CLOSING DATE OF SUBMISSION OF BIDS:** 20 July 2020 (Extended)
- 6. DATE AND PLACE OF OPENING OF BIDS:** 20 July 2020, Central Procurement Board of Namibia.

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Mr. P.P. Swartz (Chairperson), Ms. L. Ramakhotla (Deputy Chairperson), Mr. E. Shilongo, Ms. H. Herman, Mr. O. Nangolo, Ms. E. Nghidipaa, Ms. J. !Garus-Oas, Mr. M. Kambulu, Mr. A. Ngavetene, Ms. E. Shiponeni (Secretary to Board)

7. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED BY CLOSING DATE: EIGHTY-ONE (81)

8. EVALUATION PROCESS

The bid evaluation process was clearly outlined in Section III – Evaluation Criteria, page 29 – 35 of the bidding document. The evaluation process is illustrated in the diagram below:



9. DEFINITIONS

EC – Evaluation Criteria
ITB – Instructions to Bidders
NB – No Bid
SBD – Standard Bidding Document
SSC – Social Security Commission

10. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S) STAGE 1:

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
1	Splash Investments CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing (the certificate submitted was issued 8 June 2020, thus, expired on 8 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
2	Eli Tjoolo Trading Enterprises	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
3	Goreseb Trading CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate (the certificated submitted expired on 17 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document.
4	African King Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial Information	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing (the certificate submitted was issued on 11 Feb 2020, thus, expired on 11 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
5	Marry's Protection Services CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate expired on 15 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
6	Amon Sn Investments CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing (the certificate submitted was issued on 23 March 2020, thus, expired on 23 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
7	Mampi Security Services CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 16 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 10 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 10 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
8	Spark Security Services CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibian identity document of the two (2) shareholders i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
9	Security Training College of Namibia CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 17 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 17 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. <p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 28 May 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
10	Namibia People Protection Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
11	Chippa Trading Enterprises	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 20 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 20 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Affirmative Action Certificate (the certificate that was issued expired on 13 April 2020, as it was issued on 13 April 2019 and was only valid for 12 months) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document.
12	Sirka Investments cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
13	Stefmory Investments cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 19 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 19 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.</p> <p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 19 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 19 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Incorrect registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL (The certificate was for Dibasen Trading Enterprises cc) i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
14	MayField Protection services cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 21 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 21 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 12 May 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
15	Donny Investments cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 16 June 2020 and thus, expired on 16 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of STANDARD BIDDING DOCUMENT .

2

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
16	Nakaye Trading cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 08 June 2020 and thus, expired on 08 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
17	Bertha Security Services cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 09 June 2020 and thus, expired on 09 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
18	Kaviombo Trading Enterprise cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 08 June 2020 and thus, expired on 08 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
19	Nangura Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 17 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 17 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document .

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 13 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Certificate (the certificate that was issued expired on 08 August 2017, as it was issued on 08 August 2018 and was only valid for 12 months) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
20	Chief Nangolo Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document.
21	Mbusha Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 09 June 2020 and thus, expired on 09 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
22	Onamagongwa Trading Entreprises	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 07 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 07 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
23	Star Protection and Investment Pty Ltd	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 23 May 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. <p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibian identity document of the shareholders. (Only Veikko Peelenga ID was submitted) i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 30 March 2020 and thus, expired on 30 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
24	Njangula Trading cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 26 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 26 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 16 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
25	Shine Technology Pty	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 15 June 2020 and thus, expired on 15 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
26	Snifferdog Investigation Agency & Security Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Complete company registration documents. Thus, the BEC could not validate ownership i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 26 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 26 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 18 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Certificate i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document.
27	Omatungo Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 11 June 2020 and thus, expired on 11 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
28	PIS Security Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 10 June 2020 and thus, expired on 10 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
29	Eilands Weide Investments cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 03 June 2020 and thus, expired on 10 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 11 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
30	Reflector Trading Enterprises cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 05 June 2020 and thus, expired on 05 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
31	NDK Investment cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 11 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 11 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
32	Jupiter Security cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 18 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 18 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 17 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid Affirmative Action Certificate (the certificate that was issued expired on 10 Nov 2018, as it was issued on 10 Nov 2017 and was only valid for 12 months) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document.
33	Kimpert Investment cc	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 09 March 2020 and thus, expired on 09 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted expired on 10 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
34	Africa Investment cc	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 04 June 2020 and thus, expired on 09 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
35	Mpungu Security cc	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 24 March 2020 and thus, expired on 24 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
36	IML Trading Enterprises cc	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 11 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.</p> <p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 28 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 28 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 02 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document. Site visit surveys/evidence thereof i.t.o section III (1.10) of Standard Bidding Document.
37	Chripher Investment CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 03 June 2020 and thus, expired on 03 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
38	EASS Investment CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
39	Tripple Safety Securities CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 10 June 2020 and thus, expired on 10 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. <p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
40	Alert Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 04 June 2020 and thus, expired on 04 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
41	Nico Security Services	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 03 March 2020 and thus, expired on 03 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 01 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
42	Omumbudu Security Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document.
43	Waakali Security Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 18 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 18 April 2020).
44	Vihinda Investments CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 20 March 2020 and thus, expired on 20 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 19 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
45	Kangaru Trading Express CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibian identity document of the shareholder. (Kassian Karuru ID not submitted) i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
46	Mbijita Kunene Investment CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 06 March 2020 and thus, expired on 06 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
47	Ngatukondje Trading CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 08 June 2020 and thus, expired on 08 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
48	Omle Security Services CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 16 March 2020 and thus, expired on 16 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
49	Torra Investment CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 07 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 07 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
50	Commando Security Group CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
51	Ozetu Security Services (Pty) Ltd	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 13 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 13 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Certificate i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document. <p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 02 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Certificate (the certificate that was issued expired on 15 July 2020, as it was issued on 15 July 2019 and was only valid for 12 months) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.
52	Ojisepua Trading CC	Not – Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 20 Feb 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL. (the certificate that was submitted

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial Information	
53	G M Security Service CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>was that of Centurion Investments cc) i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.</p> <p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 09 March 2020 and thus, expired on 09 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 26 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document.
54	Shilimela Security and Debt Collection CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 10 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 10 March 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
55	MGEE's Investment CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 05 June 2020 and thus, expired on 05 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
56	Shimwe Trading Enterprises CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 26 May 2020 and thus, expired on 26 June 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
57	Karupumbura Trading Enterprises CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid company registration documents (documents submitted were not certified) i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 27 March 2020 and thus, expired on 27 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Affirmative Action Certificate (the certificate submitted was not correctly certified, the stamp of the commissioner of oath was missing) i.t.o section III (1.5) of Standard Bidding Document.
58	Extra Defence Protection Services CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 08 June 2020 and thus, expired on 08 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document.
59	Jarde Investment CC	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Namibian identity document of the shareholder i.t.o section III (1.1) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 10 June 2020 and thus, expired on 10 July 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Site visit surveys/evidence thereof i.t.o section III (1.10) of Standard Bidding Document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 1				Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Mandatory Documents	Administrative Requirements	Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
60	Tsaras Trading Enterprises (Sole Proprietor)	Not - Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p><u>The Bidder failed to submit the following documents/information:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valid SSC Good Standing Certificate (the certificate submitted was issued on 20 Feb 2020 and thus, expired on 09 April 2020) i.t.o section III (1.4) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Valid Tax Good Standing Certificate. (the certificate submitted expired on 16 May 2020) i.t.o section III (1.2) of Standard Bidding Document. ▪ Registration certificate as a Bona Fide security service provider with NAMPOL i.t.o section III (1.8) of Standard Bidding Document.

11. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S) STAGE 2:

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage 2	
		Administrative Requirements	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
1	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
2	Tanhwe Security Force CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
3	Windhoek Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
4	Shikuvule Trading CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
5	Nelito Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
6	Omeya Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
7	Independent Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
8	Ombandje Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
9	Tulikumo Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
10	Triple One Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
11	Premier Investment NO.25 CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
12	Nkasa Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
13	Ovakuatjivi Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
14	FAN Investments CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
15	Vicmac Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
16	Khaibasen Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
17	CIS Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
18	Salambala Investment CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
19	Royal Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable
20	Namibia Protection Services (PTY) LTD	Responsive	Not Applicable
21	Mukwe Security Services CC	Responsive	Not Applicable

12. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S) STAGE 3&4:

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation criteria stage 3&4		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
1	Nkasa Security Services	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit/<u>provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bidder submitted 5 different CVs with no qualification for grade 10 and grade 12 as no proof of certificates were attached. ▪ Bidder did not also provide security training certificates. ▪ Bidder did not provide a declaration on its letterhead that security guards will be vetted/screened. ▪ Bidder did not provide guards with grade 12 certificates, as required for Rundu medical stores (least 2 guards must have grade 12 certificates). ▪ There are no guard supervisors indicated who have grade 12 certificates attached to the submission. ▪ Bidder did not submit a commitment letter that bid prices shall be fixed as per three-year price schedule.
2	FAN Investments CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit/<u>provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A Company profile clearly explaining the bidder's number of employees, office space and experience in similar services. ▪ Bidder's Contract Manager does not have 5 years of experience. ▪ Bidder's work plan lacking substantial methodology. ▪ The bidder only submitted 4 CVs whereas the required was 5 CVs.

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation criteria stage 3&4 Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The bidder only submitted 4 code of conduct certificates. ▪ The bidder provided 3 guards with grade 12 certificates, whereas the Windhoek requires 8 guards with grade 12 certificates. ▪ The Bidder submitted only 1 guard with grade 12 certificate and bid for 2 lots that require all security guard supervisors to have grade 12 certificate. ▪ The bidder did not submit proof that vehicles are registered in his/her name or that of the company or proof of rental.
3	CIS Security Services	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit/provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Company Profile and Experience: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of employees not clearly indicated. ▪ Bidder provided a work plan but lacking substantial methodology. ▪ Bidder only submitted 2 CV without attachment of their Grade 10/12 Certificates. The bidder also attached 5 other Grade 12 certificates without CVs. ▪ Bidder only attached 4 code of conduct certificates of which only 1 has an ID copy to support it. ▪ No letter indicating security guards will be vetted/screened. ▪ No training program attached ▪ Bidder failed to provided/indicated guards with grade 12 certificate as required for all medical stores and the following is required per medical store (Only 5 Grade 12 Certificate was attached attached): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Windhoek requires 8 guards with Grade 12 - Oshakati requires 2 guards with Grade 12 - Rundu requires 2 guards with Grade 12 ▪ No letter of intent from the financial institution or credit line.

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation criteria stage 3&4		Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
		Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	
4	Salambala Investment cc	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>No undertaking letter from the Bidder's auditors or External Accountant.</p> <p>The Bidder failed to submit/provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Company Profile and Experience: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only 1 letter of reference provided. ▪ Bidder only submitted 2 CV, 1 CV attached with Grade 12 Certificate ▪ Bidder only attached 1 code of conduct certificate ▪ No training program attached ▪ Only 1 Grade 12 Certificate attached. ▪ Bidder failed to provided/indicated guards with grade 12 certificate as required for all medical stores and the following is required per medical store (Only 1 Grade 12 Certificate was attached attached): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Windhoek requires 8 guards with Grade 12 - Rundu requires 2 guards with Grade 12 ▪ Security guard supervisors not clearly indicated and only 1 grade 12 certificate attached. ▪ No sample picture of security guard uniform, badge and identity card provided. ▪ No undertaking letter from the Bidder's auditors or External Accountant <p>The Bidder failed to submit/provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Company Profile and Experience: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of employees not indicated - Experience in similar services not indicated
5	Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit/provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Company Profile and Experience: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of employees not indicated - Experience in similar services not indicated

#	Bidder's Name	Responsiveness as per evaluation criteria stage 3&4 Technical Requirements	Working Capital and Financial information	Reasons why bids are NOT responsive
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Bidder did not submit a declaration on its letterhead that security guards will be vetted/screened. ▪ Bidder did not submit a Security Guard Training Program. ▪ Bidder failed to provide/indicate guards with grade 12 certificate as required for all medical stores and the following is required per medical store (Only 1 Grade 12 Certificate was attached): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Windhoek requires 8 guards with Grade 12 - Oshakati requires 2 guards with Grade 12 - Rundu requires 2 guards with Grade 12 ▪ Bidder did not indicate security guard's supervisors for all the lots and thus, BEC could not confirm if supervisors have a grade 12 certificate. ▪ Bidder did not submit a letter from the auditors or external accountant. ▪ Bidder did not submit a commitment letter that bid prices shall be fixed as per three-year price schedule.
6	Royal Security Services CC	Not responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<p>The Bidder failed to submit/provide the following documents/information in terms of evaluation criteria section III (3) and (4):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ No declaration on bidder company letterhead that security guards will be vetted or screened. ▪ Bidder failed to provide/indicate guards with grade 12 certificate as required for all medical stores and the following is required per medical store (Only 2 Grade 12 Certificate was attached): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Windhoek requires 8 guards with Grade 12 - Oshakati requires 2 guards with Grade 12 - Rundu requires 2 guards with Grade 12 ▪ Security guard supervisors not clearly indicated and only 2 grade 12 certificate attached. ▪ No undertaking letter from the Bidder's auditors or External Accountant.

13. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S), STAGE 5:

The BEC conducted a robust review of the bids and allocation was done based on the following (as per Section V – Priced Activity Schedule, page 50 of the bidding document):

- Regional presence / preference will be give to bidders who bid in the region where they are based and operate in line with the Ministry of Finance directive regarding the locally manufactured goods (i.e. the bidder must have a business of security services in that region or office establishment and proof to the effect in a form of fitness certificate or lease agreement was required)
- Responsiveness and price competitiveness
- Allocation of only one lot per bidder

Observation by the BEC with regards to the total bid prices

The BEC observed that the formula (Unit Rate x Number of Guards x 24 Hours x 30/31 Days x 12 Months = Annual Rate x 3 Years) applied by the bidders to get the total contract price was not applied constantly by all the bidders as some of the bidders used 12hours and others 24 hours. The BEC also observed that some of the bidders used 30 days,31 days and average of 30.5 days.

Therefore, the BEC resolved to apply the unit rate during stage 5 (Lot Allocation) for bids that were substantially responsive. The BEC was guided by ITB 28.1 that states:

“Bids determined to be substantially responsive will be checked by the Employer for any arithmetic errors. Arithmetical errors will be rectified by the Employer on the following basis: if there is a discrepancy between unit prices and the total price that is obtained by multiplying the unit price and quantity, the unit price shall prevail, and the total price shall be corrected; if there is an error in a total corresponding to the addition or subtraction of subtotals, the subtotals shall prevail and the total shall be corrected; if there is a discrepancy between the amounts in figures and in words, the amount in words will prevail”.



Due to the inconsistent application of the formula by the Bidder, the Board resolved on the recommendation of the BEC that this bid be awarded on a rate basis.

Lot 1 – Khomas	Lot 2 – Karas
Lot 3 – Hardap	Lot 4 – Erongo
Lot 5 – Otjozondjupa	Lot 6 – Oshikoto
Lot 7 – Oshana	Lot 8 – Zambezi
Lot 9 – Kavango East & west	Lot 10 – Kunene
Lot 11 – Omaheke	Lot 12 – Omusati
Lot 13 – Ohangwena	



Bidder #	Bidder	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3	Lot 4	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 7	Lot 8	Lot 9	Lot 10	Lot 11	Lot 12	Lot 13	Reason for allocation
Bidder 10	Tanhwe Security Force CC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.25	-	-	-	-	No lot was allocated. Bidder only bid for lot 9 and was not the most responsive bidder due to the high price. (price was not competitive)
Bidder 11	Windhoek Security Services	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	13.30	Regional presence - Bidder has a lease agreement in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 70% and their price is competitive for Lot 3.
Bidder 17	Shikuvule Trading cc	-	-	-	-	-	12.90	12.65	-	12.65	12.65	-	12.70	12.70	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 90% and their price is competitive for Lot 13.
Bidder 18	Nelito Investments cc	13.00	13.50	13.50	13.50	13.50	-	-	-	13.50	13.50	13.50	-	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 75% and their price is the competitive for Lot 10.

Bidder #	Bidder	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3	Lot 4	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 7	Lot 8	Lot 9	Lot 10	Lot 11	Lot 12	Lot 13	Reason for allocation
Bidder 23	Omeya Investments cc	13.25	-	-	13.35	13.30	13.30	13.35	-	13.25	13.30	-	13.25	13.35	Regional presence - Bidder has in Fitness Certificate in this region Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 75% and their price is competitive for Lot 7.
Bidder 27	Independent Security Services cc	13.50	19.00	22.00	14.50	17.50	19.00	19.50	12.80	13.50	18.50	20.00	16.30	20.00	Regional presence - Bidder has a Lease Agreement in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 100% and their price is competitive for Lot 8.
Bidder 29	Ombandje Security Services cc	-	17.00	-	17.00	17.00	-	-	-	-	-	17.00	-	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 85% and their price is competitive for Lot 2.
Bidder 30	Tulikumo Investments CC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.00	-	-	-	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 90% but their price is not competitive for Lot 9.

Bidder #	Bidder	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3	Lot 4	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 7	Lot 8	Lot 9	Lot 10	Lot 11	Lot 12	Lot 13	Reason for allocation
Bidder 31	Namibia Protection Services	12.95	13.50	13.50	13.50	13.50	-	-	-	13.50	-	13.50	13.50	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 75% and their price is competitive for Lot 11.
Bidder 47	Triple One Investments cc	13.00	-	-	-	-	-	13.00	-	-	-	-	13.00	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 80% and their price is competitive for Lot 12.
Bidder 51	Premier Investment NO.25 CC	14.00	-	-	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	-	14.00	-	14.00	Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 77% and their price is competitive for Lot 6
Bidder 52	Mukwe Security Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.37	-	-	-	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 77% their price is competitive for Lot 9.

Bidder #	Bidder	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3	Lot 4	Lot 5	Lot 6	Lot 7	Lot 8	Lot 9	Lot 10	Lot 11	Lot 12	Lot 13	Reason for allocation
Bidder 62	Ovakuatjivi Trading Enterprises CC	14.50	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	13.90	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 77% and their price is competitive for Lot 4.
Bidder 67	Vicmac Security Services CC	14.00	-	-	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	-	14.00	-	14.00	-	14.00	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 82% and their price is competitive for Lot 1.
Bidder 69	Khaibasen Security Services CC	-	-	-	-	15.00	15.00	-	-	-	15.00	-	-	-	Regional presence - Bidder has a Fitness Certificate in this region. Bidder is Responsive - Bidder scored 75% and their price is competitive for Lot 5.

2


14. BEST EVALUATED BID(S):

Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder:

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved the bidders in the table below in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Lof No.	Region	Award to Bidder	Year 1 Hourly Rate (N\$)	Year 2 Hourly Rate (N\$)	Year 3 Hourly Rate (N\$)
1	Khomas	Vicmac Security Services CC	14.00	14.00	14.00
2	//Karas	Ombandje Security Services cc	17.00	17.00	17.00
3	Hardap	Windhoek Security Services	13.30	14.30	15.30
4	Erongo	Ovakuatjivi Trading Enterprises CC	13.90	13.90	13.90
5	Otjozondjupa	Khaibasen Security Services CC	15.00	15.00	15.00
6	Oshikoto	Premier Investment NO.25 CC	14.00	14.00	14.00
7	Oshana	Omeya Investments CC	13.35	13.35	13.35
8	Zambezi	Independent Security Services CC	12.80	13.70	14.65
9	Kavango East & West	Mukwe Security Services	14.37	14.37	14.37
10	Kunene	Nelito Investments CC	13.50	14.18	14.89
11	Omaheke	Namibia Protection Services	13.50	14.04	14.60
12	Omusati	Triple One Investments CC	13.00	13.00	13.00
13	Ohangwena	Shikuvule Trading CC	12.70	13.00	13.00

According to instruction to bidders on page 50 of the Standard Bidding Document, Section V - Priced Activity Schedule, (a bidder can only be awarded one (1) Lot maximum)


P.F. Swartz
 Chairperson



Receipt Acknowledgement

I/We _____ hereby acknowledge receipt of this Notification of Selection of Procurement Award dated _____ and undertake to immediately return it to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: **Signature:**

Date: **Company Stamp:**