

REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

[AS A RESULT OF APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW BEFORE THE REVIEW PANEL ON 27 JANUARY 2022]

1.	Name of Procurement	Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses on Behalf of MoEAC
2.	Procurement Reference No	G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020
3.	Date of Submission of Report	22 March 2022
4.	Contract Number	G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020
5.	Scope of Contract	Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$286,391,721.00
7.	Funding Agency	Ministry of Education Arts and Culture
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open National Bidding
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	09 October 2020
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	15 February 2021
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	15 February 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Twenty-Two (22)



13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1						Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered		
10	El Mondo Trading CC	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The Bid Submission form on page 52-53 of the SBD is not duly filled in, and the form is not stamped as required. Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.1 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The Bidder submitted a BF for Table A under Section IV on page 61-62, that is not duly completed, and page 62 is not signed as required in ITB 23.2 on page 17 of the SBD. The Bidder referred to an attachment, which is not attached as indicated. Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.3 of the standard bidding document.</p>	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Non-Responsive and Disqualified					Financial Evaluation	Not Considered		
14	MD General Dealer Services CC	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive		The Bid Submission form on page 52-54 of the SBD is not duly filled in, and the form is not stamped as required. Phase 1: Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation requirement no. 1.1 of the standard bidding document.
1	Muthakaz investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive		The bidder failed to provide a sample of maize blend as required. Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document. The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims. Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist							
3	Nutrifood (Pty) Ltd & Multiplex Solution CC JV	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to provide a share certificate to establish the shareholding percentage and the identification document for one shareholder was not submitted.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.1 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to comply with the requirement, as the joint venture partner "Multiplex Solution CC" did not complete the undertaking form as required on page 56 of the SBD.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.10 of the standard bidding document.</p>	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Responsive					Not Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered		
5	Block 9 Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required. Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a commitment letter to source locally produced goods from the respective region(s). Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.11 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims.</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1						
11	Ouyamba Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide a short-term insurance and a letter of intent from the bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims. Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.	
12	Okapandi Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required. Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist							
13	August 26 Logistics (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder provided a letter of intent from the insurance and not a letter of commitment. Furthermore, the letter of intent submitted from the bank does not indicate credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</p>	
		Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to comply with section 27 (1) (a) (i) read together with section 28 (2) and section 2 (b) of the of the Public Procurement Act No.15 of 2015, as one of the shareholders who owns 25% is not a Namibian citizen.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.1 of the standard bidding document.</p>	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1					Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Financial Evaluation				
												<p>The bidder submitted a written special power of attorney referenced "Provision of Protein, Sugar, Salt and Transportation to Blenders' Warehouses; G/ONB/CPBN-04/2020" and not "Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses".</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.4 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a sample of 12.5 kg self-lined bag as required.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation				
15	Rachida Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>The bidder failed to submit a Bid Securing Declaration as required in ITB 22 of the SBD.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.2 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to submit page 56 of the undertaking on the part of the bidder on that salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws, remuneration Order, and Award.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.10 of the standard bidding document.</p>	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation				
21	Halutusane Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered			Responsive	<p>The bidder submitted a letter of intent from the bank which does not indicate the required 2% credit line and there is no commitment letter submitted for the short-term insurance as required.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.8 of the standard bidding document.</p>
											<p>The bidder submitted a letter of intent from the bank which does not indicate the credit line as required and the letter states that "This letter is issued for tender purpose only and has no monetary value attached to it".</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation				Financial Evaluation	Financial Evaluation		
22	Walvisbay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd JV Namibia General Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	<p>Walvisbay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd failed to provide the Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate as required from both parties in a joint venture.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.7 of the standard bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder failed to provide a commitment letter for short term insurance, and a letter of intent from a bank or other credible financial institution, indicating a credit line of two percent (2%) of the contract value for full coverage of public liability claims as required.</p> <p>Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation requirement no. 3.12 of the standard bidding document.</p>

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1			Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Financial Information Checklist						
9	Agrimill Commercial (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Responsive	The bidder failed to provide proof of credit facilities net of other contractual requirements for a minimum amount of 2% of contract value per Regional lot. Phase 1: Financial Information Checklist Evaluation requirement no. 4.1 of the standard bidding document.	
2	Pena Manufacture CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Non- Responsive and Disqualified	The bidder provided a letter of intent to supply Soya Protein and Maize Meal to Degree Power Investment CC who participated in the same bid. Therefore, the bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d).	

4	Degrande Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	<p>The bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company for Degrande Investments CC and Degree Power Investment CC indicates that Mr. Naboth Mbulu (ID No.: 72101800535) is employed as a driver by both bidders, which makes the bidders conflicted through a common third party. In the same vein, Ms. Rosina Herman (ID No.: 92010400119) who is employed as a Blender by Degrande Investments CC is also a key personnel for Stream Two Properties CC as an Administrative Officer. The bidder provided a Lease Agreement for Transport to Degree Power Investment CC in terms of requirement no. 5.5 of Technical Evaluation (TE) Phase 2 on page 39 of the SBD. However, the transportation details provided are the same as those provided by Degrande Investments CC for the same project as shown below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Make: Scania ✓ G460 (Truck)
---	-------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---



											<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Vehicle Registration No.: N84959W ✓ Vehicle Register No.: SPX868H ✓ VIN No.: 9BSG6X40003894 697Engine No.: V0030673061 • The report that was issued by the Southern African Grain Laboratory NPC certifies that Degrande Investments CC is supplied by Stream Two Properties CC. In addition, the analytical laboratory results submitted is the same version of the report that was provided to Stream Two Properties CC with similarities as shown below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ SAGL Test Report No.: (20/21) /245 ✓ Season: 2020/2021 ✓ Date Received: 2020/11/30 ✓ Origin: Stream Two Properties CC ✓ Sender: Herman Nekomba Order Number: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ LAB No.: (20/21) /245 /826 ✓ Sender Code: Maize Meal-91-11/11/2020 ✓ Product: Maize Meal • The bidder was issued a certificate of service by
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---



No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Eligibility Evaluation	Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3 Financial Evaluation	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation								
										<p>Spar Omuthiya, of which Spar Omuthiya is a trading name under Stream Two Properties CC as per the stamp on Stream Two Properties CC company organogram, which reads "Stream Two Properties CC T/A Spar Omuthiya". Furthermore, the Postal Address (P O Box 19372 Omuthiya) on the certificate of service from Spar Omuthiya reflects the same postal address as that on the company stamp.</p>

6	Degree Power Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	<p>The bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company organogram for Degree Power Investment CC and Degrande Investments indicates that Mr. Naboth Mbulu (ID No.: 72101800535) is employed as a driver by both bidders, which makes the bidders conflicted through a common third party. The transport (trucks and trailers) to be used by Degree Power Investment CC in terms of requirement no. 5.5 of Technical Evaluation (TE) Phase 2 on page 39 of the SBD, are the same transport (trucks and Trailers) to be used by Degrande Investments CC for the same project as shown below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Make: Scania G460 (Truck) ✓ Vehicle Registration No.: N84959W ✓ Vehicle Register No.: SPX868H ✓ VIN No.: 9BSG6X40003894 697 ✓ Engine No.: V0030673061 The report that was issued by the Southern
---	----------------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	----------------	---------------------------------	---

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1					Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation			
												<p>African Grain Laboratory (NPC) certifies that Degree Power Investment CC is supplied by Stream Two Properties CC. In addition, the analytical laboratory results submitted is the same version of the report that was issued to Stream Two Properties CC with similarities as shown below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ SAGL Test Report No.: (20/21) /245 ✓ Season: 2020/2021 ✓ Date Received: 2020/11/30 ✓ Origin: Stream Two Properties CC ✓ Sender: Herman Nekomba Order Number: ✓ LAB No.: (20/21) /245 /826 ✓ Sender Code: Maize Meal-91-11/11/2020 ✓ Product: Maize Meal
7	Omhalanga Mills (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Non-Responsive and Disqualified	Not Considered	Non-Responsive and Disqualified		<p>The bidder failed to meet the minimum score of 70 points and only scored 65 points as required in Phase 2: Technical Evaluation Score of the standard bidding document.</p>	

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1 Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation	Phase 1 Financial Information Checklist	Phase 2 Technical Evaluation Score	Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation				Financial Evaluation			
										<p>The bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder provided a letter of intent to enter into an agreement for the Provision of Maize Meal, Blending, Packaging and Transportation to Regional Distributor's Warehouses to the following two (2) bidders who participated in the same bid. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Sash Trading and Earthworks CC ✓ Aisha Investments CC

19	Stream Two Properties CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<p>The bidder was disqualified in terms of ITB 5.2 (d) for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company organogram for Stream Two Properties CC indicates that Ms. Rosina Herman (ID No.: 92010400119) is employed as an Administrative Officer and is also a key personnel for Degrande Investments CC as a Blender. The report that was issued by the Southern African Grain Laboratory (NPC) certify that Stream Two Properties CC will supply Degrande Investments CC and Degree Power Investment CC. In addition, the analytical laboratory results submitted by Stream Two Properties CC is the same version of the report that is submitted by Degrande Investments CC and Degree Power Investment CC with similarities as shown below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ SAGL Test Report No.: (20/21) /245 ✓ Season: 2020/2021 ✓ Date Received: 2020/11/30 ✓ Origin: Stream Two Properties CC
									Non-Responsive and Disqualified
									Not Considered

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1		Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3		Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation						
													<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Sender: Herman Nekomba Order Number: LAB No.: (20/21) /245 /826 ✓ Sender Code: Maize Meal-91-11/11/2020 ✓ Product: Maize Meal • The bidder issued a certificate of service to Degrande Investments CC under the name Spar Omuthiya, of which Spar Omuthiya is a trading name under Stream Two Properties CC as per the stamp on Stream Two Properties CC company organogram, which reads "Stream Two Properties CC T/A Spar Omuthiya". Furthermore, the Postal Address (P O Box 19372 Omuthiya) on the certificate of service from Spar Omuthiya reflects the same postal address as that on the company stamp.
8	New Success Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
16	Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified

No.	Bidder's Name	Phase 1		Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Conflict of Interest	Reasons why bid is NOT responsive
		Preliminary/Administrative Evaluation	Eligibility Evaluation	Compulsory Disclosure (Mandatory Requirements Evaluation)	Financial Information Checklist	Technical Evaluation Score	Financial Evaluation						
17	Aishaa Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
18	Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified
20	Alason Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not Disqualified



14. Best Evaluated Bid(s)

Selected for Award of Contract:

Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) Report, the Board approved in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder Name	Address	Lots Awarded Per Bidder	Total Bid Price Quoted for Three (3) Years (N\$)	Total Bid Price Corrected for Three (3) Years (N\$)
New Success Investments CC	PO Box 96255, Windhoek	Lot 1: //Kharas Region	3,827,788.15	3,030,751.27
		Lot 3: Hardap Region	4,766,135.08	3,773,711.97
		Lot 4: Kavango East Region	25,394,887.58	20,107,065.70
		Lot 12: Oshikoto Region	27,737,373.09	21,961,789.79
		Total Amount Awarded		
Sash Trading and Earthworks CC	PO Box 74, Oshakati Unit 2 Omugongo Estate, Nida Industrial Park Oshakati	Lot 5: Kavango West Region	21,331,042.80	17,475,839.64
		Lot 11: Oshana Region	20,917,972.20	17,392,709.88
		Total Amount Awarded		
Aishaa Investments CC	PO Box 534, Ondangwa Erf. 0976, Oshakati East	Lot 7: Kunene Region	10,810,942.12	8,822,823.34
		Lot 9: Omaheke Region	4,186,783.42	3,426,921.01
		Lot 14: Zambezi Region	16,971,102.70	13,891,004.79
		Total Amount Awarded		
Kaptau Consumables Supplies CC	PO Box 3193, Oshakati Unit 9 Omugongo Industrial Estate Oshakati	Lot 8: Ohangwena Region	34,572,162.815	33,685,390.81
		Lot 10: Omusati Region	34,111,414.89	33,236,460.05
		Total Amount Awarded		
Alason Trading Enterprises CC		Lot 2: Erongo Region	4,006,039.14	3,788,147.79
		Lot 6: Khomas Region	5,433,216.00	5,154,445.33

Bidder Name	Address	Lots Awarded Per Bidder	Total Bid Price Quoted for Three (3) Years (N\$)	Total Bid Price Corrected for Three (3) Years (N\$)
	Erf. 1015 Visarend Street Khomasdal, Windhoek	Lot 13: Otjozondjupa Region	8,056,715,64	7,579,758.06
Total Amount Awarded				16,522,351.18

29 March 2022



P.P. Swartz
Chairperson




ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**PROCUREMENT OF
PROVISION OF MAIZE MEAL, BLENDING, PACKAGING AND TRANSPORTATION TO
REGIONAL DISTRIBUTOR'S WAREHOUSES**

(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. G/ONB/CPBN-03/2020)

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: