

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Development Contracts for the Blading of Gravel Roads in the Windhoek Region on behalf of Roads Authority
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022
3.	Date of Submission of Report	25 August 2022
4.	Contract Number	W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022
5.	Scope of Contract	General Conditions of Contract
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$ 567,602,597.88 (Inc. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	28 January 2022
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	29 March 2022
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	29 March 2022, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Eighteen (18)

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Mr A. Ngavetene (Chairperson), Ms J. !Garus-Oas, Ms E. Nghiidipaa, Ms H. Herman, Mr O. Nangolo, Mr E. Shilongo, Mr M. Kambulu, Ms M. Shiimi, Ms E. Shiponeni (Secretary to the Board)

A.N

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	Stage6 Technical Score	
8	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder's Letter of Bid is incomplete because page 68 of the Letter of Bid that forms part of the bidding document could not be found. The bidder was therefore, deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.1.1 of the bidding document. The period of the bid validity could not be determined since page 68 of the Letter of Bid was missing. The bidder was therefore, deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.1.5 of the bidding document.
12	JV: CSV Shaanika Contracting (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial all the pages of the bidding document. Page 125 of the bidding document and a CV of the Site Manager consisting of three (3) pages were not initiated as required in criteria 1.5.1.3 in reference to ITB 21.1 of the bidding document.
18	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate that is not in the name of the bidder but rather in the name of Quiver Tree Investment 13 CC. The company (Bidder) that bid was Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd. The was also no documentation submitted to show that there was a change

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	Technical Score	
1	Queen Mwetako Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>of name, therefore, the bidder was deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.3.5 of the bidding document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder only made provisions for two(2) caravans for the Lots it bid for, contrary to Criteria 1.5.4.4 which required bidders to have the following equipment: 1x new Grader, 1 x 8 years old Grader, 1x mechanically sound standby Grader, 3 x caravans & 1 x 5000 L diesel truck).
2	Shatty Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document. However, the bidder did submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Tyres from Windhoek Supper Tyres (Pty) Ltd and for the supply of Grader related equipment & parts from Construction Machinery Parts and Plants (CRP) CC. The bidder did not indicate to employ one (1) Namibian Civil Engineering graduate, nor did it submit a brief method statement with a letter of consent from the graduate indicating their consent to be mentored by the bidder, as required in terms of criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the supply of Fuel & Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria
3	Bothma Road Contractors and Plant Hire CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the supply of Fuel & Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria &	Financial Requirement	Technical Score	
					Disqualified			1.5.4.3 of the bidding document. However, the bidder only submitted a letter of intent for the Supply of Tyres from Bergh and Trend Tyres and for the Supply of Grader related Equipment & Parts from Construction Machinery parts and Plants CC.
4	ETN Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not make provision for a 5000 L Diesel Truck for any of the Lots it bid for neither did it indicate an intent to lease as required in Criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document. The bidder only made provisions for one (1) caravans (through a quotation from Bezer's Trailer) for the five (5) Lots it bid for contrary to evaluation criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document. The bidder listed two (2) Civil Engineering graduate trainees by the names Peter Iyambo and Maria Hadukeme. However, there was no letter of consent from the trainees to be mentored submitted nor a brief method statement as required in criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document.
6	FA Developers CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not make provision to acquire, lease or own 3 x Caravans and a 500 L Diesel Tank for the Lots it bid for. The bidder only indicated to own 3x Graders, one (1) Caravan and no Diesel Tank as

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	Technical Score	
								<p>required in criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a brief method statement/letters of consent indicating one (1) Namibian Civil Engineering graduate trainee to be mentored as required in criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document. The CV was however submitted. The bidder did not indicate to own nor did it submit a letter of intent from local service providers for the provision of a Grader Monitoring System once awarded the bid as required in criterion 1.5.4.6 of the bidding document.
7	BrandBerg Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for Grader Operators & the Diesel Mechanic for the Lots it bid for. The bidder only submitted CVs for the Project / Contracts Manager, Site Agent and Namibian Civil Engineering Graduate Trainees, contrary to criteria 1.5.4.1 of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel Products. However, they submitted letters of intent for the supply of Tyre(s) and Grader related equipment & parts from MB Spare parts and ITR Namibia respectively, contrary to criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	Technical Score	
13	Brumar Construction CC JV Odjove Trading & Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a letter of intent from local service providers for the provision of a Grader Monitoring System once awarded the bid as required in criteria 1.5.4.6 of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit all the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of employees dedicated to the project. The bidder omitted CVs for Contract Manager and two (2) Grader Operators. The bidder only completed FORM PART E2/resume of proposed personnel on page 125 of the bidding document, which is a summarized form of the CVs contrary to ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 35 of the bidding document which required the bidder to submit detailed CVs of site key personnel.
14	Magnate Civil Engineering CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's projects on Construction and Road Maintenance works only accumulates to N\$15 million, instead of N\$26 million as required in the criteria 1.5.4.2 of the bidding document.
16	Nessi Park Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder indicated to have a Diesel Truck with the capacity of 2000L, however, on page 64 of the bidding document, in reference to criteria 1.5.4.4, it was required that the bidder submit a letter of intent to buy/lease or own a Diesel Truck with a minimum capacity of 5000 L.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1	Stage2	Stage3	Stage4	Stage5	Stage6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Financial Requirement	Technical Score	
								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a brief method statement for the one (1) Namibian Civil Engineering graduate trainees nor a letter indicating the graduates' consent to be mentored as required in criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document.
11	Nexus Civils (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's Debt Service Cover Ratio (DSCR) calculated was - 0.92, contrary, to criteria 2.2.2 of the bidding document which required a ration of ≥ 1.
17	LA Van Wyk Grading and Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder's Average Current ratio calculated was 0.7, contrary, to criteria 2.2.2 of the bidding document which required a ration of ≥ 1.
5	Earth Ethicks Constructions CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified.
9	Namusheshe Construction and Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified.
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified.
15	Globex Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified.

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) and the bidding document ITB 32.1, the Bid Evaluation Committee examined and verified eighteen (18) lowest priced bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Code	Price at Bid Opening (including VAT) N\$	Difference	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	15% Up/Down Threshold	Budget (N\$)	15% Down	15% up	Rank	Recommendations/ Alternate
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 03	53 476 058,00	-	53 476 058,00	yes				1	1st Alternate
5	Earth Ethicks Construction CC		58 226 892,00	-	58 226 892,00	yes				2	Recommended
15	Globex Investments CC		61 059 658,25	-	61 059 658,25	yes	62 677 382,74	53 275 775,33	72 078 990,15	3	2nd Alternate
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (PTY) Ltd		62 020 983,50	-	62 020 983,50	yes				4	The Bidder Failed to provide the Performance Security in terms of Section (55)(7) of the PPA, 15 of 2015.



Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 as amended (PPA)

15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S) Select For Award of Contract: Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Allocated	Bid price(s) read out Bid Opening	Corrections of errors	Discounts	Proposed Award Amount	Address
10	Earth Ethicks Construction CC	DC-WHK 03	N\$ 58,226,892.00	None	None	N\$58,226,892.00	15 Garten Street, Aussspanplatz, Windhoek
			Bid price, including VAT: Fifty-Eight Million, Two Hundred Twenty-Six Thousand, Eight Hundred and Ninety-Two Namibia Dollars				

03 March 2023



A. Ngavetene
 Chairperson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF GRAVEL ROADS IN THE
WINDHOEK REGION ON BEHALF OF ROADS AUTHORITY
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022.)**

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: