

PART A

NOTICE OF SELECTION OF PROCUREMENT AWARD

(Section 55 of Act)

(Regulation 39 (1))

AWARD NOTICE FOR : **RECONSTRUCTION OF CANAL SECTION BETWEEN MAHENENE BORDER POST AND OLUSHANDJA DAM: PART A (OLUSHANDJA SECTION)**

Date : **17 September 2020**

Procurement Reference No : **W/ONB/CPBN-06/2019**

Contract price : **N\$41,575,067.49 (Including VAT)**

Name of successful bidder : **RADIAL TRUSS INDUSTRIES LTD JV IMPERATIVE CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CC**

Address : **No. 2 Jenner Street
Windhoek West
Windhoek**

- a) Kindly note that, in terms of Section 55 of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act No. 15 of 2015) and Regulation 39 (1) of the Public Procurement Regulations, you have been selected for the award of this procurement contract referred to herein.
- b) Kindly further note that in the absence of application for review of the selection of award with the Central Procurement Board of Namibia (CPBN) within the seven (7) days standstill period by any unsuccessful bidder(s), the Accounting Officer, in terms of Section 55 (5) of the Public Procurement Act will award this Procurement Contract to you.
- c) The standstill period referred to in paragraph (b) above, starts on 22 September 2020 at 08H00 AM and will end on 28 September 2020 at 17H00 PM (Namibian Time).
- d) Any application for review referred to in paragraph (b), can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: Reconsiderations@cpb.org.na.



PART B

NOTICE TO THE BIDDERS NOT SELECTED FOR AWARD

NDAKALIMWE INVESTMENTS CC

WATER ENGINEERING AFRICA (PTY) LTD

JAMES AND YOUNG TRADING ENTERPRISES CC

SHATTY CONSTRUCTION CC

NCCS INVESTMENT HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD

THE KONGOM GROUP (PTY) LTD

VERO GROUP CC JV VALOMEK CIVIL CC

HUE-WALDT ELECTRICAL AND CONSTRUCTION CC

OTJOMUISE CONSTRUCTION CC

MES INVESTMENTS CC T/A EMS CONTRACTORS CC

OCTAGON CONSTRUCTION (PTY) LTD




AN CONSTRUCTION CC JV KL CONSTRUCTION (PTY) LTD

BRUMAR CONSTRUCTION CC

- a) Kindly note that the bidder whose particulars are specified in Part A has been selected for award.
- b) The price offered by the bidder referred to in paragraph (a) is an amount of **N\$41,575,067.49 (Including VAT)**
- c) The criteria used to select for the award of the bidder referred to in paragraph (a) is set out in the bidding document Reference Number: **W/ONB/CPBN-06/2019**.
- d) Kindly note that if you are not satisfied with the selection for the award made by the Central Procurement Board of Namibia (CPBN), you may make an application for the review of the selection made with CPBN within the seven (7) days of this notice, and in the absence of any application for review, the Accounting Officer of CPBN shall award this contract to the person (s) selected for the award.
- e) The Standstill period of seven (7) days referred to in paragraph (d) above, starts on 22 September 2020 at 08H00 AM and will end on 28 September 2020 at 17H00 PM (Namibian time).
- f) Any application for review referred to in paragraph (d), can be forwarded to the following electronic mail address: Reconsiderations@cpb.org.na.



**P. P. SWARTZ
CHAIRPERSON**



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

Name of Procurement Reconstruction of Canal Section between Mahenene Border Post and Olushandja Dam Part A (Olushandja Section)

CPBN Procurement Reference No : W/ONB/CPBN-06/2019

Date of Submission of Report : 14 September 2020

Contract Number : W/ONB/CPBN-06/2019

Scope of Contract : Reconstruction of Canal Section between Mahenene Border Post and Olushandja Dam Part A (Olushandja Section)

1. **Estimated Cost:** N\$ 53,441,360.20 (Incl. VAT)
2. **Funding Agency:** Namibia Water Corporation (Pty) Ltd.
3. **Procurement Method Used:** Open National Bidding, Section 29 (a)
4. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 06 December 2019
5. **Closing Date of Submission of Bids:** 07 February 2020 at 11h00
6. **Date and Place of Opening of Bids:** 07 February 2020 at the Procurement Management Unit, Central Procurement Board of Namibia
7. **Number of Bids Received by Closing Date:** Fourteen (14)



8. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
8	Ndakalimwe Investments CC	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.2 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid Point No.11 on page 24 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region, i.e. the area where the project is located. However, the Bidder did not submit a written statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region. The Bidder only submitted a generic skills transfer policy.</p>
12	Water Engineering Africa (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.2 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid Point No.10 on page 24 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit CVs for a Project Manager, Site Agent, Concrete Foreman, Earthworks Foreman and Surveyor. The Bidder submitted CV's for all the required key personnel as stated above except a CV for the Earthworks Foreman.</p>

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
13	James & Young Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<p>1. As required under Section III under 1.2 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid Point No.11 on page 24 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region, i.e. the area where the project is located. However, the Bidder did not submit a written statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region.</p> <p>2. As per Section III under 1.2 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid Point No.10 on page 24 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit CVs for a Project Manager, Site Agent, Concrete Foreman, Earthworks Foreman and Surveyor. The Bidder submitted CV's for all the required key personnel as stated above except a CV for the Land Surveyor.</p>
14	Shatty Construction CC	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As required under Section III under 1.2 Legal Admissibility Evaluation Grid Point No.11 on page 24 of the Bidding document, the Bidder was required to submit a statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region, i.e. the area where the project is located. However, the Bidder did not submit a written statement that all un-skilled labourers will be employed from the Omusati Region.</p>

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
1	NCCS Investment Holdings (PTY) LTD Investment Holdings (PTY) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No. 3 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit proof of ownership or letter of intent from a lessor for Excavators, Graders, Bulldozers, Water Tankers, Tipper trucks, Roller compactors, Concrete mixers (Concrete truck and Batching plant) and Survey Equipment. The Bidder submitted proof of ownership or a letter of intent for all the above required equipment; however, no proof of ownership or letter of intent from a lessor was submitted for a Bulldozer and Roller compactor.</p> <p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.6 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a work programme (method statement and schedule). The Bidder submitted a schedule; however, no method statement was submitted.</p>
2	The Kongom Group (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.5 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a written statement that the Bidder's progress report (including the programme) will be issued to the Project Manager every month before any progress meeting. However, the Bidder did not submit a written statement.</p> <p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.6 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a work programme (method statement and schedule). The Bidder submitted a schedule; however, no method statement was submitted.</p>

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
3	Vero Group CC JV Valomek Civil CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.2 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the minimum years of experience required was five (5) years as stated in ITB 6.3 (d). However, the proposed Concrete Foreman does not have relevant experience. He only has experience in bricklaying and welding. The Earthworks Foreman only has three (3) years of experience and therefore, does not meet the minimum requirements.</p>
7	Hue-waldt Electrical & Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	<p>As per Section III under 1.3 -Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.4 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a list of similar work carried by the Bidder over the last five years, accompanied by signed letters or Performance certificate or Completion certificate from the Principal Agent/Project Manager/Architect who has supervised the works. However, the Bidder only has experience in electrical works.</p> <p>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.5 on page 25 of the Bidding Document, the Bidder was required to submit a written statement that the Bidder's progress report (including the programme) will be issued to the Project Manager every month before any progress meeting. However, no written statement was submitted.</p>

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
9	Ojomoise Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	<p>1. <i>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No. 3 on page 25 of the Bidding Document</i>, the Bidder was required to submit proof of ownership or letter of intent from a lessor for Excavators, Graders, Bulldozers, Water Tankers, Tipper trucks, Roller compactors, Concrete mixers (Concrete truck and Batching plant) and Survey equipment. The Bidder submitted proof of ownership or a letter of intent for all the above required equipment, however, no proof of ownership or letter of intent from a lessor was submitted for a Roller Compactor.</p> <p>2. <i>As per Section III under 1.3 Documents to be submitted for Technical evaluation point No.6 on page 25 of the Bidding Document</i>, the Bidder was required to submit a work programme (method statement and schedule). The Bidder submitted a schedule; however, no method statement was submitted.</p>
11	MES Investments CC t/a EMS Contractors CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder NOT disqualified

Bidder #	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative compliance	Stage 2: Legal Compliance	Stage 3: Technical compliance	Stage 4: Technical Scoring	Stage 5: Financial compliance	
4	Radial Truss Industries (Pty) Ltd JV Imperative Construction & Engineering CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder NOT disqualified
5	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd AN	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder NOT disqualified
6	Construction CC JV KL Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder NOT disqualified
10	Brumar Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder NOT disqualified



9. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S):

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (N\$ Incl. VAT)	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$ Incl. VAT)	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) (N\$ Incl. VAT)	Ranking
4	Radial Truss Industries (Pty) Ltd JV Imperative Construction & Engineering CC	41,575,067.49	None	N/A	1
10	Brumar Construction CC	59,196,277.96	None	N/A	2
5	Octagon Construction (Pty) Ltd.	59,843,090.31	None	N/A	3
6	AN Construction CC JV KL Construction (Pty) Ltd	70,189,248.58	None	N/A	4
11	MES Investments CC	75,203,226.62	75,329,726.62	None	5

10. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)

Proposed Award of Contract:

Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder:

The Board resolved to approve the BEC Report in line with Section 9 (1) (l) (i) as follows:

Name: Radial Truss Industries (Pty) Ltd JV Imperative Construction & Engineering CC

Address: No. 2 Jenner Street,
Windhoek West,
Windhoek
Tel: +264 61 301 336
info@radialtruss.com.na

Description		
Bid Price	Currency	Amount (Including VAT)
Bid Price Read-Out	N\$	41,575,067.49
Bid Price after arithmetic correction	N\$	None
Discounts	N\$	N/A
Proposed Award	N\$	41,575,067.49

14 September 2020


Mr Patrick Swartz
Chairperson

