



Procuring with Integrity

+264 (61) 447 700 | pr@cpb.org.na | www.cpb.org.na | P.O. Box 23650 | Mandume Park, 1 Tenert Street, Windhoek, Namibia

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

Name of Procurement : PROCUREMENT OF SUPPLY FOODSTUFFS TO GOVERNMENT SCHOOL HOSTELS

CPBN Procurement Reference No : G/ONB/CPBN-1/2020

Date of Submission of Report : 10 DECEMBER 2020

Contract Number : G/ONB/CPBN-1/2020

Scope of Contract : PROCUREMENT OF SUPPLY FOODSTUFFS TO GOVERNMENT SCHOOL HOSTELS

1. **Estimated Cost:** N\$3,571,443,396.50
2. **Funding Agency:** Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
3. **Procurement Method Used:** Open National Bidding (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)
4. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 12 June 2020
5. **Closing Date of Submission of Bids:** 07 September 2020
6. **Date and Place of Opening of Bids:** 07 September 2020, at Procurement Management Unit, Central Procurement Board of Namibia
7. **Number of Bids Received by Closing Date:** Ninety-Six (96)

8. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S)

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
1	Vero Group; Napunikwa Investment Cc JV	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
2	Roco Foods Industries Cc	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted a Good Standing Social Security Certificate dated 22 July 2020 that is not valid as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit the Commitment to procuring locally produced foodstuff including (but not limited to) fresh produce, meat, fish, dairy and poultry products as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(3) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
						<p>those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. (Refer to Clarification 05). Bidder did not meet the 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions BID for as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder only covered 15 schools out of 19 for Lot A Omaheke Region which makes it 78%. Furthermore, the Bidder covered 17 schools out of 24 for Lot B Khomas Region which makes it 70%, and 21 schools out of 40 for Lot C Hardap & Karas Region which makes it 52.5% coverage respectively.
4	Omaheke Megasave; Ingonyama Holdings Pty (Ltd) JV	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	
6	Cassinga Catering Group Agility Logistics Group Omalaeti Foods (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 100% Namibian ownership and 51% ownership by previously disadvantaged Namibians could not be confirmed because the Bidder did not submit the required supporting documents to determine the ultimate beneficial owners of Omalaeti Foods (Pty) Ltd. (The Trust Deed does not reflect the beneficiaries).as per ITB 36.3 (d).and (Clarification 14) Bidder did not submit supply agreements or letters of intent from Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
7	Confab Bistro Cc; Pupkewitz Catering Supplies Pty (Ltd) JV	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. (Also Refer to Clarification 05). The 100% Namibian ownership and 51% ownership by previously disadvantaged Namibians could not be confirmed because the Bidder did not submit the required supporting documents to determine the ultimate beneficial owners of Pupkewitz Holdings (Pty)Ltd. As per ITB 36.3 (d).and (Clarification 14) Bidder did not meet 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions bid for, signed & date stamped by matrons/superintendent/Principal and caterer, the date stamped must be within the bid invitation period. Bidder only covered 15 schools out of 19 for Lot A Omaheke Region which makes it 78.9%. Furthermore, the Bidder covered 9 schools out of 24 for Lot B Khomas Region which makes it 37.5%, and 25 schools out of 40 for Lot C Hardap & Karas Region which makes it 62.5% coverage respectively. Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive required in <i>ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28</i>
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
8	Homefin Properties Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidder did not submit 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions bid for, signed & date stamped by matrons/superintendent/Principal and caterer, the date stamped must be within the bid invitation period as required in <i>ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</i> • Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in <i>ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</i> • Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for the managing the contract as required in <i>ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</i> • Bidder did not submit declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in <i>ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</i>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
9	Countdown Investment	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted copies of full company registration documents to prove full ownership / share structure of all bidders that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. Bidder submitted copies of valid company Registration Certificate that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(2) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit Commitment to procuring locally produced foodstuff including (but not limited to) fresh produce, meat, fish, dairy and poultry products as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(3) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
10	Trios Mangue Investments Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. (Refer also to Clarification 05).
11	GDN Investments Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. (Refer also to Clarification 05).
13	Inyangusha Trading Enterprises Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted copies of full company registration documents to prove full ownership/ share structure of all bidders that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. Bidder submitted copies of valid company Registration Certificate that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
15	IBA Investments Cc; Lungile Investments Cc; Ditsa Investments Cc; HBH Construction and Services Cc; Kommando Trading Enterprise Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>(h)(2) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for the managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Refer also to (Clarification 05).



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
17	Action Investments Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27.
18	Luxury Investments Four One Eight Pty (Ltd)	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 100% Namibian ownership and 51% ownership by previously disadvantaged Namibians could not be confirmed because the Bidder did not submit the shareholders identity documents as per. ITB 36.3 (d). Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for the managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
19	TLM Food Trading Potenza Investment Cc;	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted copies of full company registration documents to prove full ownership / share structure of all bidders that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder submitted copies of valid company Registration Certificate that are not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(2) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder submitted a Good Standing Social Security Certificate dated 01 July 2020 for Potenza Investment Cc that is not valid as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit valid original or Namibian Police or Commissioner of Oaths certified Good Standing Social Security Certificate for TLM Food Trading Cc as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit Declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
20	Windhoek Palm Hotel Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. Bidder did not meet the 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions BID for as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder covered 31 schools out of 40 for Lot C Hardap & Karas Region which makes it 77.5%. Bidder did not submit valid certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, or proof from Employment Equity Commissioner that bidder is not a relevant employer, or exemption issued in terms of Section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998 as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit Commitment to procuring locally produced foodstuff including (but not limited to) fresh produce, meat, fish, dairy and poultry products as required

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
21	OKG Food Services (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>in ITB 12.1 (h)(3) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
22	M & H Trading Enterprises	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
23	Bella Foodrinks Supply Cc; Indy's Catering and Trading Cc JV	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. Bidder did not submit An undertaking on the part of the Bidder that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws, Remuneration Order, and Award, where applicable and that it will abide to sub-clause 4.6 of the General conditions of Contract if it is awarded the contract or part thereof for Indy's Catering and Trading Cc JV; as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
24	Clouds Trading Enterprises Cc	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder submitted good Standing Social Security Certificate dated 24 June 2020 that is not valid as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not submit declaration that the bidders' shareholders have no interest in other Bidders' firms as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(7) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
26	Chakula Foods Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidder did not submit the Bid Securing Declaration in accordance with ITB Clause 22 (JV partners to submit the same) as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(1) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 27. • Bidder did not meet the 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions BID for as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder only covered 14 schools out of 24 for Lot B Khomas Region which makes it 58.3%. • Bidder submitted valid but not certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, or proof from Employment Equity Commissioner that bidder is not a relevant employer, or exemption issued in terms of Section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998 as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. • Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for the managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
27	Unifoods Catering Services (Pty) Ltd	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 100% Namibian ownership and 51% ownership by previously disadvantaged Namibians could not be confirmed because the Bidder did not submit the required supporting documents to determine the ultimate beneficial owners of Corridor Logistics (Pty) Ltd.as per ITB 36.3 (d).and (Clarification 14) Bidder submitted good Standing Social Security Certificate that is not certified as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11. Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.
29	Kunene Catering Services (Pty) Ltd	Non- Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted Good Standing Social Security Certificate dated 30 June 2020 that is not valid as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Instruction to Bidders of the bidding document on page 11.

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage				Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Phase 1: Compulsory Disclosure (Eligibility) Evaluation	Phase 2: Financial Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.1 Technical Evaluation	Phase 3: 3.2: Site Inspection	
30	Florida Trading Cc	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not furnish letters of intent for the supply or sourcing of fresh produce, meat and other products from local producers or suppliers north of the cordon fence. For this purpose, all Bidders should obtain supply agreements or letters of intent with registered fresh produce producers or Meat Board Registered Abattoirs (in case of meat) in those regions as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(4) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder did not meet the 80% Survey Schedules for school hostels in regions BID for as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(5) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28. Bidder only covered 11 schools out of 40 for Lot C Hardap & Karas Region which makes it 77.5%. Bidder covered 20 schools out of 27 for Lot F Otjozondjupa Region which makes it 74%. Bidder covered 9 schools out of 19 for Lot A Omaheke Region which makes it 47%. Bidder covered 20 schools out of 34 for Lot D Erongo & Kunene Region which makes it 58%. Bidder covered 15 schools out of 24 for Lot B Khomas Region which makes it 62.5%. Bidder did not submit Functional organizational structure for managing the contract as required in ITB 12.1 (h)(6) in the Bidding Data Sheet of the bidding document on page 28.