



Procuring with Integrity

+264 (81) 447 700 pr@cpb.org.na www.cpb.org.na P.O. Box 23650 Mandume Park, 1 Fernat Street, Windhoek, Namibia

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Construction of a New Primary School at Mix Settlement, Brakwater in the Khomas Region–Phase 1 on Behalf of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021
3.	Date of Submission of Report	18 November 2021
4.	Contract Number	W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021
5.	Scope of Contract	Construction of a New Primary School at Mix Settlement, Brakwater in the Khomas Region–Phase 1 on Behalf of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$65 000 000.00 (Inc. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	11 June 2021
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	31 August 2021
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	31 August 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Twenty-six (26)

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Mr P.P. Swartz (Chairperson), Ms L. Ramakhotia (Deputy Chairperson), Ms J. IGarus-Oas, Ms E. Nghiidipaa, Ms H. Herman, Mr O. Nangolo, Mr E. Shilongo, Mr M. Kambulu, Mr. A. Ngavetene, Ms. E. Shiponeri (Secretary to the Board)

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	
1	Hangala Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial six pages of the attached copies under plant and equipment as per ITB Clause 21.2 The Bidder did not initial "Form construction equipment" as per ITB Clause 21.2 The Bidder did not initial one copy of Certificate of vehicle registration from NATIS as per ITB Clause 21.2
4	Kambwa Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not initial Page 68 of the standard bidding document as per ITB Clause 21.2.
9	Ipalak Construction CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial nine pages of attachment under Annexure 3 as per ITB Clause 21.2
17	August 26 Logistics (Pty) LTD	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial page 55 of the bidding document as per ITB Clause 21.2. The bidder did not initial the reference letter from Pupkewitz as per ITB Clause 21.2 The bidder did not initial six pages of "Form-similar construction experience" as per ITB Clause 21.2 The bidder did not initial the Award letter from Ministry of Education as per ITB Clause 21.2.
18	Puturi Construction CC	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Puturi Construction CC who is party to the JV is not signatory to the Bid Securing Declaration nor to the Letter of

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	
	JV Mafikeng Investment CC							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bid and the written Undertaking as required for in ITB 20.8 of the bidding document. The Bidder did not initial reference letter for materials from the company "Buco" as per ITB Clause 21.2 The bidder did not initial the entire company profile/ brochure that consisted of twenty-two (22) pages as per ITB Clause 21.2.
22	Afrideca Construction Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Messer Bin Yan who owns 100% shares in Sanli Construction CC is not a citizen of Namibia as per the copy of ID submitted in terms of ITB Clause 12.1 (j)(h).
16	Sanli Construction CC JV John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not submit proof of Citizenship in the form of an ID as required in the Evaluation Criteria, ITB Clause 12.1 (j)(h).
19	Nami Prefabricated Housing CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit an ID of one of its shareholders for Onghushe Investment CC, Messer Penexupifo Olga Petrina Haipinge who owns 10 % shares as per ITB Clause 12.1 (j)(h).
24	NBT Quality Services CC JV Onghushe Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the reference letter provided, the BEC found that the bidder did two (2) projects of similar nature (building construction): Construction of Oikokola PHC clinic in Omusati Region and Construction of the new maternity shelter at Outapi. However, all the reference letters of the projects
6	ETN Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	
7	Maperes Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The bidder did not attach Certificates of Completion for the projects of similar works to substantiate the list of works submitted over the last ten (10) years as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4, item 2.</p>
15	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<p>The bidder did not submit a list of similar works accumulated to N\$ 40 million nor did the bidder submit a project valued at N\$ 10 million as required in Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4, item 2. They indicated the construction of Rundu Abattoir project worth, N\$113,000.00 and resurfacing programme Ojiwarongo worth N\$321,000.00 in 2018. The highest project the bidder ever did was a N\$ 4 million road construction project and was not considered as similar works because it is not a building construction.</p>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	
20	Siku Investment CC JV Amutanga Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not responsive & disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a list of similar work accumulative to N\$ 40 million as required in Technical Evaluation criteria 1.4, item 2, however, there is one (1) project that is above N\$10 million for the Construction of New PHC Clinic at Elavi Oshikoto Region in 2016. The rest of the projects are below N\$10 million and do not accumulate to N\$ 40 million, thus, not meeting Technical Evaluation Criteria 1.4, item 2. The Bidder did not submit a letter of intent for leasing of plant & equipment in name of this BID as required in Technical Evaluation criteria 1.4, item 3 but rather for a different project with a description called "Construction of 6 standard classroom for Hardap Regional Council".
2	Valomek Civil CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
3	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
5	Constructions Namibia (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
8	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified
10	Oluzizi Engineering &	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
		Preliminary Examination	Eligibility Evaluation	Legal Admissibility	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Evaluation Score	Financial Requirement	
	Construction (Pty) Ltd							
11	Capital Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
12	ID Building Contractors CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
13	GFS Investment Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
14	Penatu Trading CC JV Florida Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
21	Ndakalimwe Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
23	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
25	Shatty Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified
26	Ibuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	• Bidder not disqualified

14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), the Evaluation Committee has only examined and verified the five (5) lowest priced bids out of thirteen (13) bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

No	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$	Bid Price After Corrections (N\$)	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
23	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	59,750,634.52	56,647,200.13	None	1
25	Shatty Construction CC	57,290,914.45	57,287,867.94	None	2
8	Neu Olulya Trading CC JV NGC Investment CC	59,954,190.40	59,954,190.40	None	3
26	Ibuild Supplies (Pty) Ltd	59,963,685.70	59,961,845.70	None	4
3	Ongoma Trading Enterprises CC	60,152,959.96	60,117,051.20	None	5
13	GFS Investment Construction CC	60,319,940.00	Not verified	None	6
12	ID Building Contractors CC	60,785,371.84	Not verified	None	7
10	Oluzizi Engineering & Construction (PTY) Ltd	61,986,920.62	Not verified	None	8
21	Ndakalimwe Investment CC	61,991,726.35	Not verified	None	9
2	Valomek Civil CC	64,246,312.85	Not verified	None	10
11	Capital Technical Services CC	65,296,175.06	Not verified	None	11
14	Penatu Trading CC JV Florida Trading CC	75,984,685.16	Not verified	None	12
5	Namibia Construction (Pty) Ltd	80,372,933.76	Not verified	None	13

15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)
Selected for Award of Contract:
Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved the report in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Address
23	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC	Unit 12 Brahman Park brahman Street, Northern Industrial

Description	Currency	James and Young Trading Enterprise CC
Bid Price	N\$	Amount (Including VAT)
Bid Price(s) Read-Out	N\$	59,750,634.52
Corrections of Errors	N\$	(3,103,434.39)
Discounts	N\$	None
Proposed Award	N\$	56,647,200.13

Bid price, including VAT: Fifty-Six Million Six Hundred Forty-Seven Thousand Two Hundred Namibia Dollars and Thirteen Cents (N\$56,647,200.13).

29 November 2021


P.P. Swartz
CHAIRPERSON



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PRIMARY SCHOOL AT MIX SETTLEMENT, BRAKWATER
IN THE KHOMAS REGION- PHASE 1 ON BEHALF OF MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS
AND CULTURE**

(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/OAB/CPBN-04/2021.)

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Notice for Selection of Procurement Award
dated _____ and undertake to immediately return the signed acknowledgment
of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: