

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

Name of Procurement: Short-Listing of Consultants for
Consultancy Services for Oshakati
Purification Plant Extension

Procurement Reference No.: SC/EOI/CPBN-01/2019

Date of Submission of Report: 09 January 2020

Contract Number: SC/EOI/CPBN-01/2019

Scope of Contract: Consulting Services for the Oshakati
Purification Plant Extension

- 1. Funding Agency:** Namwater
- 2. Procurement Method Used:** Open National Bidding (Section 29(a) of the PPA)
- 3. Date of Invitation of Bids:** 30th October 2019
- 4. Closing Date of Submission of Bids:** 02 December 2019
- 5. Date and place of Opening of Bids:** 02 December 2019, Central Procurement Board of Namibia
- 6. Number of Bids Received by Closing Date:** Ten (10)

7. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stages					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Preliminary and Administrative Compliance	Stage 2: Legal Admissibility	Stage 3: Technical Admissibility	Stage 4: Financial Admissibility	Stage 5: Post-qualification of Bidders	
1	Blueprint Consulting Engineers JV Flagg Consulting Engineers	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidding document submission is incomplete and not initiated on all pages (i.e. pages 12, 13, 14) The structure and content of the bids is not in accordance with Bidding Document requirements as stipulated in ITB 1.4. Statements and declarations are not properly filled in as required under ITB 1.4 (c).
2	Ongwediva Consulting Engineers	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not considered	Not considered	Not considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of the Shareholders is not a Namibian citizen, and this is contrary to the mandatory requirement as per ITB 1.3 of the Bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stages					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Preliminary and Administrative Compliance	Stage 2: Legal Admissibility	Stage 3: Technical Admissibility	Stage 4: Financial Admissibility	Stage 5: Post-qualification of Bidders	
3	Aurecon	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not indicate whether shareholders or owners are Namibia citizens or not, which is a mandatory requirement as per ITB 1.3 of the Bidding Document.
4	Dunamis Consulting Engineers and Project Managers JV Pregon Consulting Engineers	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all the audited financial reports of the JV are certified. Only Dunamis' financial reports are certified whilst the ones for Pregon were not certified. Bidder submitted audited financial statements but not certified as required for in ITB 1.4 (d). Bidder did not submit letter of intent/proof of credit line of cash at hand as per ITB 1.4 (d).
5	Lithon Project Consultants	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted audited financial statements but not certified as required for in ITB 1.4 (d)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stages					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Preliminary and Administrative Compliance	Stage 2: Legal Admissibility	Stage 3: Technical Admissibility	Stage 4: Financial Admissibility	Stage 5: Post-qualification of Bidders	
6	Bicon Namibia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not submit letter of intent/proof of credit line of cash at hand as per ITB 1.4 (d) Bidder submitted audited financial statements but were not certified as requested in ITB 1.4 (d) The letter of intent/proof of solvency submitted by the Bidder does not indicate the N\$5 million as requested under ITB 1.4 (d).
7	WML Consulting Engineers (Pty) Ltd	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder submitted audited financial statements but were not certified as requested in ITB 1.4 (d). The Bidder only qualified for N\$ 3 million with the financial institution and not N\$ 5 million as requested in ITB 1.4 (d)
8	Knight Piesold Consulting (Pty) Ltd	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not meet the minimum Technical score of 70% as required due to the following:

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness Per Evaluation Stages					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Preliminary and Administrative Compliance	Stage 2: Legal Admissibility	Stage 3: Technical Admissibility	Stage 4: Financial Admissibility	Stage 5: Post-qualification of Bidders	
9	CMB Namibia Consulting & Mechanical Engineers	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) No Registered Mechanical, Electrical, Electronics, Civil/Structural and Resident Engineer with the required qualification and Experience 2) No provision of a methodology for transfer of knowledge
10	Element Consulting Engineers (Pty) Ltd	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bidder Not disqualified
							Bidder Not disqualified

BIDS QUALIFIED FOR SHORTLISTING

8. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)

Bids Qualified for Shortlisting:

Substantially Responsive Bidder:

The Board resolved to approve the recommendation as per the Bid Evaluation Committee to shortlist the following for Request For Proposal (RFP) stage:

1. **Name:** CMB Namibia Consulting Mechanical & Electrical Engineers

Address: 124, Robert Mugabe Avenue
P. O. Box 3256
Windhoek

2. **Name:** Element Consulting Engineers (Pty) Ltd

Address: No. 6, Otto-Nitzsche street, Klein Windhoek
P. O. Box 11598
Klein Windhoek
Namibia, 10012

11TH June 2020



P. P. Swartz
Chairperson

