



Procuring with Integrity

+264 (51) 447 700 | [pr@cpb.org.na](mailto:pr@cpb.org.na) | [www.cpb.org.na](http://www.cpb.org.na) | P.O. Box 23650 | Mandume Park, 1 Tennart Street, Windhoek, Namibia

## REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

**Name of Procurement** : Provision of Security Services to Telecom Namibia

**CPBN Procurement Reference No** : NCS/ONB/CPBN-02/2020

**Date of Submission of Report** : 08 July 2021

**Contract Number** : NCS/ONB/CPBN-02/2020

**Scope of Contract** : Provision of Security Services to Telecom Namibia

1. **Estimated Cost:** N\$ 27,083,184.00
2. **Funding Agency:** Telecom Namibia
3. **Procurement Method Used:** Open National Bidding (Section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)
4. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 26 May 2020
5. **Closing Date of Submission of Bids:** 27 July 2020
6. **Date and Place of Opening of Bids:** 27 July 2020, at Procurement Management Unit, Central Procurement Board of Namibia
7. **Number of Bids Received by Closing Date:** Forty-two (42)

**8. RESPONSIVENESS OF BID(S):**

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
5	Shine Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not initial page 44 and 46 of their bid document as required in <b>Administrative Requirement 2.2 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></li> </ul>
16	Africa Investment CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not initial page 54 of their bid document as required in <b>Administrative Requirement 2.2 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation			Stage 5: Financial Evaluation
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
21	HW Investments	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not submit complete company registration document for the BEC to establish ownership/shareholding structure, only submitted certificate for Registration of Defensive name. Neither did the Bidder submit a Power of Attorney or Board Resolution to satisfy <b>Administrative Requirement 2.1 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></li> </ul>
26	Tsaras Trading Enterprises	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not submit complete company registration document for the BEC to establish ownership/shareholding structure, only submitted certificate for Registration of Defensive name. Neither did the Bidder submit a Power of Attorney or Board Resolution to satisfy <b>Administrative</b></li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
37	Amon SN Investment CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<p><b>Requirement 2.1 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not initial page ii of their bid document as required in <b>Administrative Requirement 2.2 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></li> <li>Bidder did not initial page 64 of their bid document as required in <b>Administrative Requirement 2.2 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></li> </ul>
39	Omambudu Security Services CC	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<p>Bidder did not initial page 54 of their bid document as required in <b>Administrative Requirement 2.2 on page 26 of the bidding document.</b></p>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation			Stage 5: Financial Evaluation
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
8	Kaviombo Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
13	Shikuvule Trading Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
14	Jupiter Security Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation				Stage 5: Financial Evaluation
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit			
15	Jarde Investments Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	
17	Shilimela Security and Debt Collection Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	
20	Six Thousand Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation			
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
23	Mbusha Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.
24	Nakaye Trading Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.
25	Bonny Nantapo Investments Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
31	NDK Investments Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
32	DES Protection Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
33	Extra Defence Protection Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
34	Omle Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
35	Amutanga Trading Enterprises Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
36	Ngatukondje Trading Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
38	Ombala Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
41	Salambala Investment Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act..</li> </ul>
30	Khaibasen Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>
9	Omatungo Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in</li> </ul>



#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage 1: Administrative Requirement	Stage 2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation				Stage 5: Financial Evaluation
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit			
								accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.	
4	Kimpert Investment Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	
40	Independent Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 and 11 March 2021 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	
6	Torra Investment Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not agree in writing to the extension of the bid validity Period issued on 26 November 2020 in accordance to Section 49(2) of the Public Procurement Act.</li> </ul>	
2	CIS Security Services Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not submit a written undertaking on the part of the Bidder that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect</li> </ul>	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage					Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive	
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation			Stage 5: Financial Evaluation
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
							of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws, where applicable and that it will abide to sub-clause 6.8 of the General conditions of Contract if it is awarded the contract or part thereof as required in <b>Mandatory Requirement 1.7 on page 27 of the bidding document.</b>	
22	Stefmory Investments Cc	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Not-Considered	Not-Considered	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder submitted a Certificate of Registration as a Bona-fide Security Service Provider with NAMPOL in another company's name '<b>Dibasent Trading Enterprises Cc</b>' contrary to <b>Mandatory Requirement 1.10 on page 27 of the bidding document.</b></li> </ul>	
18	Chief Nangolo Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not obtain 70% or more as per Section III Evaluation Criteria page 29 to 30 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>	

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage							Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation		
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit			
12	Bertha Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not obtain 70% or more for cash in transit as per Section III Evaluation Criteria page 30 to 32 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
11	Tuli Kumo Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	N/A	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not obtain 70% or more as per Section III Evaluation Criteria page 29 to 30 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
29	Star Protection and Investments (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive and disqualified	N/A	N/A	Not-Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not obtain 70% or more as per Section III Evaluation Criteria page 29 to 30 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
7	Omeya Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
19	Sirka Investment Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
10	Triple One Investments Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
42	Windhoek Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>

#	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness per evaluation stage						Reasons Why Bid Is Not Responsive
		Stage1: Administrative Requirement	Stage2: Mandatory Requirements	Stage 3: Financial Requirements	Stage 4: Technical Evaluation		Stage 5: Financial Evaluation	
					4.1 Provision for Security Services	4.2 Provision for Cash In Transit		
1	Dogforce Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
3	PIS Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	N/A	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
27	Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
28	Rubicon Security Services Cc	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>

9. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE BID(S) (ONLY ONE SECURITY PROVISION LOT WILL BE ALLOCATED PER BIDDER):

PROVISION OF SECURITY GUARDING SERVICES

Lot Number	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Inclusive. VAT) N\$	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
Lot 1	Bidder 7: Omeya Investments Cc	3,368,574.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	3,665,250.00	N/A	2
	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	4,234,911.12	N/A	3
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	4,709,620.80	N/A	4
Lot 2	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	4,263,484.32	N/A	1
	Bidder 19: Sirka Investment Cc	4,306,071.60	N/A	2
	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	4,923,943.20	N/A	3
Lot 3	Bidder 7: Omeya Investments Cc	2,179,521.00	N/A	3
	Bidder 10: Triple One Investments Cc	2,043,288.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	2,158,153.20	N/A	2
			N/A	
	Bidder 19: Sirka Investment Cc	2,334,169.80	N/A	4
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	3,020,593.68	N/A	6
Bidder 42: Windhoek Security Services Cc	2,373,986.16	N/A	5	
Lot 4	Bidder 1: Dogforce Security Services Cc	5,437,152.00	N/A	4
	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	3,374,827.20	N/A	1
	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	4,038,395.76	N/A	2
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	5,052,723.84	N/A	3
Lot 5	Bidder 1: Dogforce Security Services Cc	6,846,660.00	N/A	7
	Bidder 7: Omeya Investments Cc	4,557,049.20	N/A	3
	Bidder 10: Triple One Investments Cc	4,431,960.00	N/A	2
	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	5,222,160.00	N/A	5
	Bidder 19: Sirka Investment Cc	4,423,140.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	5,593,260.24	N/A	6
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	7,295,464.80	N/A	8
	Bidder 42: Windhoek Security Services Cc	4,813,842.96	N/A	4
Lot 6	Bidder 1: Dogforce Security Services Cc	3,414,960.00	N/A	3
	Bidder 3: PIS Security Services Cc	3,525,120.00	N/A	4
	Bidder 7: Omeya Investments Cc	3,164,529.60	N/A	2
	Bidder 10: Triple One Investments Cc	2,864,160.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 12: Bertha Security Services Cc	3,745,440.00	N/A	6
	Bidder 19: Sirka Investment Cc	3,528,792.00	N/A	5
	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	6,173,352.00	N/A	8
	Bidder 42: Windhoek Security Services Cc	3,747,945.60	N/A	7

Lots	Bidder's Name	Price at Bid Opening (Inclusive. VAT) N\$	Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$	Ranking
Lot 7	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	617,976.00	N/A	2
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	529,920.00	N/A	1
Lot 8	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	539,406.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	Not Quoted	N/A	-
Lot 9	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	396,093.60	N/A	1
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	435,155.40	N/A	2
Lot 10	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	564,714.00	N/A	1
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	Not Quoted	N/A	-
Lot 11	Bidder 27: Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	No Fitness Certificate	N/A	-
	Bidder 28: Rubicon Security Services Cc	674,902.80	N/A	1



10. **LOWEST EVALUATED BID(S)**

**Proposed Award of Contract: Lowest Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidders:**  
**The Board approved the recommendation of the BEC in terms of Section 9(1)(i) as follows.**

Lot No:	Bidder's Name	Price Read-Out VAT Incl N\$	Bid Price after arithmetic correction	Discounts	Proposed Award (36 months) VAT Incl N\$	Address
1	Omeya Investments Cc	3,368,574.00	None	None	3,368,574.00	P O BOX 95279 Katutura Windhoek
2	Bertha Security Services Cc	4,263,484.32	None	None	4,263,484.32	P O BOX 11570 Oshakati
3	Tripple One Investments Cc	2,043,288.00	None	None	2,043,288.00	P O BOX 15325 Oshakati
4	Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	4,038,395.76	None	None	4,038,395.76	P O BOX 233 Windhoek
5	Sirka Investment Cc	4,423,140.00	None	None	4,423,140.00	P O BOX 25376 Windhoek
6	Dogforce Security Services Cc	3,414,960.00	None	None	3,414,960.00	P O BOX 87227 Eros Windhoek
7	Rubicon Security Services	529,920.00	None	None	529,920.00	P O BOX 1322 Tsumeb
8	Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	539,406.00	None	None	539,406.00	P O BOX 1233 Windhoek
9	Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	396,093.60	None	None	396,093.60	P O BOX 1233 Windhoek
10	Namibia Protection Services Pty (Ltd)	564,714.00	None	None	564,714.00	P O BOX 1233 Windhoek
11	Rubicon Security Services	674,902.80	None	None	674,902.80	P O BOX 1322 Tsumeb

24 September 2021



*P.P. Swartz*  
**P.P. Swartz**  
 Chairperson