

REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	Name of Procurement	Emerging Contractors' Contracts for The Blading of Gravel Roads in The Windhoek Region
2.	CPBN Procurement Reference No	W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK
3.	Date of Submission of Report	06 August 2023
4.	Contract Number	W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK
5.	Scope of Contract	General Conditions of Contract
6.	Estimated Cost:	N\$ 54,401,385.37 (Inc. VAT)
7.	Funding Agency	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	Procurement Method Used	Open Advertised Bidding (Works)
9.	Date of Invitation of Bids	28 January 2022
10.	Closing Date of Submission of Bids	21 April 2022
11.	Date and Place of Opening of Bids	21 April 2022, Roads Authority
12.	Number of Bids Received by Closing Date	Fifty-one (51)

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
1	Topaz Construction and Engineering CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the site Agent and the Grader Operators CVs as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
2	Globex Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial four (4) pages (Tender Notices No.1) as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
3	Potenza Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the book of supporting documents, the bidder did not initial the Driver's license of the Grader operator as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
4	Eponga Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the Tender Notice No.1 from Road's Authority consisting of four (4) pages, as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
5	FA Developers CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a duly filled in Bid Submission Sheet Form, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the form as required in criteria 1.a.1 on page 37 of the bidding document. The bidder did not initial Form Part E2 as required in criteria 1. a.5. on page 37 of the bidding document.
7	ANG Contractors Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a Power of Attorney as required in criteria 1.a.3 on page 37 of the bidding document. The bidder did not initial all supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
8	Omidri Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1 on page 37 of the bidding document, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in words.
10	MLG Properties Consultants CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the Good Standing Social Security Certificate, Tax Registration Certificate and the proof of Employment Equity Commissioner as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
14	Goreseb Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the Form Part E2 template for the Diesel Mechanic, the consent letter as well as the CV for the Diesel Mechanic as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
19	Ndes Civil Works and Construction CC JV Ndes Trading Enterprises CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial Form Part E3 as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
20	Flame Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In total the bidder did not initial four (4) pages of which were all letters from Barloworld as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
21	CapitalGrow Number Three	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In total the bidder did not initial two (2) pages which were the owners ID and the Good Standing Tax Certificate as required in criteria 1.a on page 37 of the bidding document.
22	Nauyala Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the book of supporting documents, the bidder did not initial the Good Standing Social Security Certificate and the Good Standing TAX Certificate as required in criteria 1. a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
23	Sisenbansen Renovation and Building CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial page three (3) of the report from the Accounting Officer, reference letter from Bachmus for fuel supply, reference letter from Quality Tyres for Tyre(s) supply and reference letter from Burgers for spare parts supply in the book of supporting documents. In total the bidder did not initial four (4) pages as required as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
25	Shiveli Trading Enterprise CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial pages 2 and 4 of the Letter of Intent to Lease Equipment from Barloworld that were printed doubled as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
26	Ozombara Construction and Renovation CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial two pages (2) of the DBN Covid business application guide as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
27	LP Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial page 200 (GCC) in the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
28	Odjove Trading & Construction (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the letter of intent to lease the Grader Monitoring System from Geale Track Mate and three (3) pages of the Grader Operator's CV (ID of Hashange Moses and reference letters) as required in criteria 1.a.5. on page 37 of the bidding document.
29	Survival Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a duly filled in Bid Submission Sheet Form, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the Form as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
30	Mckenzie's Construction	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial the book of supporting document as required in criteria 1.5.1.3 on page 37 of the bidding document.
32	Queen Mwetako Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Power of Attorney provides for two signatures, however, only one owner signed it (Moses Ntitembu Mwetako) giving himself signatory authority and the other owner failed to sign. Moses Ntitembu Mwetako was not supposed to give himself authority but rather both owners/parties. The bidder was deemed non-responsive in criteria 1.a on page 37 of the bidding document. in reference to ITB sub-clause 21.2. Both owners were supposed to sign the Power of Attorney.
34	Jakomina J. Burger Blading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The person who signed the bid did not have the signatory authority as both owners did not sign the Power of Attorney as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
37	Brumar Construction CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In total the bidder did not initial eight (8) pages as required in criteria 1.a.5, on page 37 of the bidding document which were; page 45 of an amendment to the bidding document, the 1st Grader Operator's CV and Drivers license, the 2nd Grader Operator's ID, CV and a Certificate of Attendance and the 3rd Grader Operator's CV The bidder did not initial page 105 of the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
38	Okarumatero Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial all pages in the book of supporting documents as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
39	Moza Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial eleven (11) pages of the Financial Statement of Year 2020; and four (4) pages of the Bidding Document as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
41	Namibia Welding & Building Civil Works	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1 on page 37 of the bidding document. The bidder did not write/type the amounts in words.
42	Hoeb Road Construction & Renovation	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form by not writing the amounts in word as required in criteria 1.a.1, on page 37 of the bidding document The bidder did not initial the entire CV for the Diesel Mechanic consisting of 17 pages, Certificate of Practical Completion from ACEN, the Letter of Intent to Lease Equipment from KL Construction and the Letter of Intent to Lease Equipment from Zebra Holdings Pty Ltd, as required in criteria 1.a.5. on page 37 of the bidding document.
44	Purity Investments CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial three (3) pages of the Letter from Barfoworld for the Grader Monitoring System and one page (1) of the CV for the Grader Operator, as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
45	Sizuma Investments CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1 on page 37 of the bidding document, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in words. The bidder did not initial six (6) pages of the Founding Statement, the Certificate of Registration as an SME, the Proof of Employment Equity Commissioner, the Good Standing Tax Certificate, the Good Standing Social Security Certificate and the Undertaking as per Section 139 (2) of the Labor Act as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document. The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1 on page 37 of the bidding document, the bidder did not fill in Part (s) of the Form on page 67. The bidder did not initial a Certificate for the Diesel Mechanic as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
46	Heavy Scale Investment	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial a Certificate for the Diesel Mechanic as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
47	M. Ritche Construction Investment CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not duly fill in Bid Submission Sheet Form as required in criteria 1.a.1, on page 37 of the bidding document, the bidder did not write/type the amounts in the space provided. The bidder did not initial the Affirmative Action Certificate from Employment Equity Commission and page 3 of the bidding document was also not initialed, as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
48	D.P.D Civil and Road Maintenance CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not initial page 61 in the bidding document as required in criteria 1.a.5 on page 37 of the bidding document.
6	Fusion Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a Letter of Intent for the Supply of Tyre(s). The bidder only submitted Letters of Intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel products from Vivo Energy and Grader

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria & Disqualified	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
9	Boabab Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<p>related Equipment & parts from Barloworld, contrary to criteria d.3 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d), on page 41 of the bidding document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder only provided a Letter of Intent to lease all three equipment's (3 graders, 2 caravans and a Diesel Truck) however, for the Diesel truck, the bidder submitted a quotation from Isuzu Trucks (Awas Motors) to purchase an Isuzu FRR 550 Diesel Truck with a 2650 mm capacity, but criteria 1.d, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) required the Diesel Truck to be a min of 5000 of 1 tone capacity. The bidder submitted Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of employees dedicated to the project, however, the Bidder failed to submit consent letters for all the key personnel as required in criteria d.5 in reference to ITB 6.2 (e) on page 41 of the bidding document.
11	Tuyapeni Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a Letter of Intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel products, the bidder only submitted a Fuel's Prices Press Release from Ministry of Mines and Energy. The bidder also only submitted Letters of Intent for the Supply of Tyre(s) and Grader related Equipment & Parts from ITR, contrary to criteria d.3 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) of the bidding document. The bidder only made provision to lease two Caravans and three Graders. The bidder indicated to own the Diesel Truck however there was no NATIS Registration documents attached to validate ownership, contrary to criteria d.4 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) of the bidding document.
15	Hibachi Investment Holding (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit evidence of works in similar size and nature (Blading of Gravel Roads or works of similar size and complexity, incl. Earthworks & Layer works activities on Road

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
17	Hoanib Trading Enterprises CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<p>Construction Projects) as required in criteria d.2 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (c) of the bidding document.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder's projects on Construction and Road Maintenance works only accumulated to N\$7,581,738.25 (Routine Maintenance of the Bitumen Road, completed in September 2018) instead of N\$ 9,000,000.00 as required in the criteria d.1. on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit evidence of works in similar size and nature (Blading of Gravel Roads or works of similar size and complexity, incl. Earthworks & Layer works activities on Road Construction Projects) as required in criteria d.2 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (c) of the bidding document.
18	Henimma Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of Construction and Road Maintenance works carried out by the bidder over the last ten (10) years amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$ 9,000,000.00, however, the bidder submitted certificates of Practical Completion/ Reference Letters from the main contractors without supporting letters that were issued by the Principal Agent, Engineer or employer, as required in criteria d.1 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2(b) of the bidding document. The bidder did not submit evidence of works in similar size and nature (Blading of Gravel Roads or works of similar size and complexity, incl. Earthworks & Layer works activities on Road Construction Projects) as required in criteria d.2 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (c) of the bidding document. The bidder mostly did sewer and reticulation as well as construction of houses project.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
24	Beniz Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a Letter of Intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel products, Tyre(s) and Grader related Equipment & Parts as required in criteria d.3, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) of the bidding document. The bidder did not make any provision to acquire, lease or own two Caravans as required in criteria d.4 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder only provided a Letter of Intent to lease three (3) Graders from Windhoek Renovation and one from HardRock and a Diesel Truck that will be leased from Hectors Fashion CC. The bidder did not submit Letters of Intent from suppliers for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel products, Tyre(s) and Grader related Equipment & Parts as required in criteria d.3 on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder only submitted Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of the project for the Project / Contracts Manager, Grader operator and that of the Diesel Mechanic. However, the CV for the Namibian Civil Engineering Graduate Trainee was not submitted as required in criteria d.5, on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder only submitted one (1) Financial Statement of the year 2020-2021, criteria d.6, on page 41 of the bidding document required that the bidder submit Financial Statements (for Cc) of the last three (3) years and the bidder failed to do so. The bidder did not submit a Letter of Intent for the provision of a Grader Monitoring System as required in criteria d.7, on page 41 of the bidding document.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
31	Oshikoto Building Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not indicate to own, buy or lease the Diesel Truck (min 5000 lt capacity) as required in criteria d.4, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) of the bidding document The bidder's project values for Construction and Road Maintenance works carried out over the last ten (10) years do not accumulate to N\$ 9,000,000.00, as required in criteria d.1, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (b) of the bidding document. The bidder's projects are only valued at N\$7,488,390,58. Additionally, the bidder submitted Acceptance Letters, however, the BEC did not consider these letters as the bidder was required to submit either Reference Letters or Certificate of Practical Completion.
33	New Successes Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit a Letter of Intent for the Supply of Tyre(s). The bidder only submitted Letters of Intent for the Supply of Fuel & Fuel Products from Namcor and Grader related Equipment & Parts from Barloworld, contrary to criteria d.3, on page 41, in reference to ITB 6.2 (d) of the bidding document.
35	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit evidence of works in similar size and nature (Blading of Gravel Roads or works of similar size and complexity, incl. Earthworks & Layer works activities on Road Construction Projects) as required in criteria d.2, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (c) of the bidding document.
40	ProVentures Investment CC JV Vero Group	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of all key personnel (Diesel Mechanic, Project/Contract Manager, three (3) Grader Operators and a Namibian Civil Engineering Graduate Intern, however, the bidder did not submit signed Consent Letters for all the personnel contrary to criteria d.5, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (e) of the bidding document, hence no consent was given for the CV's to be used.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
43	Alugodhi Engineering and Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not submit evidence of works in similar size and nature (Blading of Gravel Roads or works of similar size and complexity, incl. Earthworks & Layer works activities on Road Construction Projects) as required in criteria d.2, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (c) of the bidding document.
49	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted Letters of Consent from Project/Contract manager, Diesel Mechanic and Namibian Civil Engineer Graduate Interns indicating their consent to be mentored. The bidder did however, not submit consent letters from the three (3) Grader Operators as required in criteria d.5 on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder also submitted a declaration from the Namibian Police that states that he has received consent to use all the attached CV's by their respective owners but that was not a requirement and the declaration was disregarded.
50	Fillemon Investments and Trucks CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted Letters of Consent from Project/Contract Manager, Diesel Mechanic and Namibian Civil Engineer Graduate Interns indicating their consent to be mentored. The bidder did however, not submit Consent Letters from the three (3) Grader Operators as required in criteria d.5 on page 41 of the bidding document. The bidder also submitted a declaration from the Namibian Police that states that he has received consent to use all the attached CV's by their respective owners, however, that was not a requirement and the declaration was disregarded.

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages					Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	
51	Botter Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder submitted a list of Construction and Road Maintenance works carried out by the bidder over the last ten (10) years amounting to a cumulative financial amount of N\$ 9,000,000.00, however, the bidder submitted certificates of Practical Completion/ Reference Letters from the main contractors without supporting letters that were issued by the Principal Agent, Engineer or Employer, as required in criteria d.1 on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2(b) of the bidding document. These projects were not considered thus, deeming the bidder non-responsive. The bidder submitted Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for the Project / Contracts Manager, Graduate Intern and Diesel Mechanic. For the Grader Operators, the bidder only submitted two (2) CVs instead of three (3) CVs. The bidder was deemed non-responsive in criteria d.5, on page 41 in reference to ITB 6.2 (e) of the bidding document.
12	Efindano Maintenance CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
13	Nessipark Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
16	Pisces Investment Holdings (PIH) Number Eight CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Bidder not disqualified.

14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) as amended and the bidding document ITB 32.1, the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) examined and verified three (3) lowest priced bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.


#	Bidder's Name	Lot NO	Stated Bid Price per Lot (N\$)	Difference (N\$)	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	15% Up/Down Threshold	Budget (N\$)	15% Down	15% up	Rank
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 03_ OKAHANDJA	27,048,837.20	-	27,048,837.20	yes	27,675,188.52	23,523,910.24	31,826,466.80	Recommended
12	Efindano Maintenance CC		27,180,868.70	-	27,180,868.70	yes				2
16	Pisces Investment Holdings (PIH) Number Eight CC		27,626,891.65	-	27,626,891.65	yes				3
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC		36,082,080.00	(8,419,152.00)	27,662,928.00	yes				4
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 04_ GOBABIS 3	26,150,047.80	-	26,150,047.80	yes	26,726,196.85	22,717,267.32	30,735,126.38	1
12	Efindano Maintenance CC		26,691,456.30	-	26,691,456.30	yes				Recommended
16	Pisces Investment Holdings (PIH) Number Eight CC		28,244,302.83	-	28,244,302.83	yes				3
36	Zero Six Five Trading CC		29,827,228.00	-	29,827,228.00	yes				4

**15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)
Select For Award of Contract:
Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given the recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015, as amended.

#	Bidder's Name	Lot NO	Stated Bid Price per Lot (N\$)	Difference (N\$)	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price and Award Amount (INCL. VAT) (N\$)	Physical Address
13	Nessipark Investments CC	EC-WHK 03_ OKAHANDJA	27,048,837.20	-	27,048,837.20	4775 Shanghai Street
12	Efindano Maintenance CC	EC-WHK 04_ GOBABIS 3	26,691,456.30	-	26,691,456.30	Corner of Robert Mugabe and Luther Street
BEC combined total value for all two (2) recommended Lots (N\$):					53,740,293.50	

01 July 2024


A. Ngaveteme
Chairperson



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**EMERGING CONTRACTORS' CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF GRAVEL ROAD IN
THE WINDHOEK REGION
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/ONB/RA-11/2021-WHK)**

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Revised Executive Summary _____ and undertake
to immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp:

A. N.