



Procuring with Integrity

CPBN is a member of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) of Namibia. CPBN is a member of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) of Namibia.

Executive Summary of the Bid Evaluation Report (Section 55(8))

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | Name of Procurement | Provision of Protein, Sugar Salt and Transportation to Blenders Warehouse on behalf of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture |
| 2. | CPBN Procurement Reference No | G/ONB/CPBN-04/2020 |
| 3. | Date of Submission of Report | 18 November 2021 |
| 4. | Contract Number | G/ONB/CPBN-04/2020 |
| 5. | Scope of Contract | Provision of Protein, Sugar Salt and Transportation to Blenders Warehouse on behalf Of Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture |
| 6. | Estimated Cost: | N\$ 102,192,025.74 (VAT inclusive) |
| 7. | Funding Agency | Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture |
| 8. | Procurement Method Used | Open National Bidding |
| 9. | Date of Invitation of Bids | 09 October 2020 |
| 10. | Closing Date of Submission of Bids | 16 February 2021 |
| 11. | Date and Place of Opening of Bids | 16 February 2021, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia |
| 12. | Number of Bids Received by Closing Date | Thirty-Two (32) |

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Mr P.P. Swartz (Chairperson), Ms L. Ramakhetla (Deputy Chairperson), Ms J. !Garus-Oas, Ms E. Nghidipaa, Ms H. Herman, Mr O. Nangolo, Mr E. Shilongo, Mr M. Kambulu, Mr. A. Ngavetene, Ms. E. Shiponeni (Secretary to the Board)

13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Conflict of Interest | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | Stage 1 | | | Stage 2 | | | |
| | | | Preliminary Examination Stage 1 | Eligibility Evaluation Stage 2 | Compulsory Disclosure Stage 3 | Financial Information Checklist Stage 4 | Technical Evaluation Scores Stage 5 | Financial Evaluation Stage 6 | |
| 15 | Degrande Investments CC | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder had the same surname, physical and postal Address in their founding statements as Bidder No 27 and Bidder No 28. This is regarded to be a Conflict of interest as ITB 5.2 (d) states that conflict of interest is to "have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about or influence on the Bid of another Bidder or influence the decisions of the Purchaser regarding this bidding". |
| 27 | Degree Power Investments CC | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder had the same surname, physical and postal Address in their founding statements as Bidder No 15 and Bidder No 28. This is regarded to be a Conflict of interest as ITB 5.2 (d) states that conflict of interest is to "have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about or influence on the Bid of another Bidder or influence the decisions of the Purchaser regarding this bidding". The bidder provided a letter of intent to lease transportation from Degrande Logistics who is also a participating Bidder No.15 of adequate and ideal transport. This was also regarded as conflict of interest as ITB 5.2 (d) states that conflict of interest is |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive | |
|------------|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | Conflict of Interest | Stage 1 | | | | | Stage 2 | | | Stage 3 | | |
| | | | Preliminary Examination | Eligibility Evaluation | Compulsory Disclosure | Financial Information Checklist | Technical Evaluation Scores | Financial Evaluation | | | | | |
| | | | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | Stage 5 | Stage 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | Nakuumba Enterprise CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not initial on the Power of attorney, mandatory documents, and other supportive documents (CVs and Qualification for key personnel) as required by criteria 1.3 |
| 9 | Aishaa Investments CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The bidder did not initial one of the supporting documents (Reference Letter) as required in criteria 1.3 |
| 13 | Namibia General Supplies (Pty) Ltd JV Walvis Bay Ship Chandlers (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidding forms (Section IV bidding forms) are signed by the JV authorized representative, but the rest of the bidding document is signed by an unauthorized person (criteria 1.2) and ITB 5.1(b)(II). The Bidder did not initial all the attached supportive documents as required by criteria 1.3 (ITB 5.1(b)(ii)) |
| 14 | Atlantic Catering Solutions (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not initial the Deed of property lease document as required by criteria 1.3 |
| 17 | Block Nine (9) Commercial (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not initial all attached supportive documents as required by criteria 1.3 |
| 18 | Efundja Caters (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not initial all attached supportive documents as required by criteria 1.3 |
| 19 | Shimwe Trading Enterprises CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | The Bidder did not initial all attached supportive documents as required by criteria 1.3 |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive | |
|------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | Conflict of Interest | Stage 1 | | | | Stage 2 | | | | Stage 3 |
| | | | Preliminary Examination | Eligibility Evaluation | Compulsory Disclosure | Financial Information Checklist | Technical Evaluation Scores | Financial Evaluation | Stage 6 | | |
| 20 | El Mondo Trading CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not fully complete the Bid Submission Form (e.g., Total prices of bids) as required in criteria 1.1 (ITB 12.1(a)) The Bidder did not sign the Bid Submission form as required by criteria 1.2. |
| 21 | Pena Manufacturers CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not initial all the supportive documents as required by criteria 1.3. |
| 23 | Halutusane Investment CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder used a correction fluid (Tipex) on the Bid Submission form (Total prices of bids) without initial or signing next to the correction by the duly authorized person (ITB 23.3) that states "<i>Any interlineation, erasure, or overwriting shall be valid only if it is signed or initialed by the person signing the Bid.</i>" |
| 30 | Betonstein Construction CC | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not initial page 95 of the SBD and one of the supportive documents (Letter of intend to issue a guarantee – FNB) as required by criteria 1.3. |
| 31 | Omhalanga Meals (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not initial the Letter of intend to hire transport from Babyface Civils CC as required by criteria 1.3. |
| 8 | Okapandi Trading Enterprises CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not attach Protein sample report on analytical laboratory results |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--|
| | | Conflict of Interest | Stage 1 | | | | Stage2 | Stage3 | Stage4 | Stage5 | Stage6 | |
| | | | Preliminary Examination | Eligibility Evaluation | Compulsory Disclosure | Financial Information Checklist | | | | | | |
| | | | Stage 1 | Stage2 | Stage3 | Stage4 | Stage5 | Stage6 | | | | (pages 72-80) as required by criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder attached a Salt sample report on analytical laboratory results (pages 72-80) that is not on the name of the bidder, without a letter of intend to source from the supplier (Salt company (Pty) Ltd) as required by criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)). |
| 10 | Bima Dunes Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not provide a commitment letter to provide short term insurance as per criteria 3.11 |
| 24 | Muthakaz Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder attached a Salt sample report on analytical laboratory results (page 72-80) from a reputable laboratory in Namibia as required by criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)) but the Bidder did not attach the letter of intend to source salt from the supplier (Ekango Salt Refiners) The Bidder did not submit a commitment letter to provide short term insurance as required by criteria 3.11 |
| 25 | August 26 Logistics (Pty) Ltd | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not attach a Certified Namibian Identification Document (As per the Addendum 04-Revised Page (29 |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive |
|------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| | | Conflict of Interest | Stage 1 | | | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Financial Evaluation | |
| | | | Preliminary Examination Stage 1 | Eligibility Evaluation Stage 2 | Compulsory Disclosure Stage 3 | Financial Information Checklist Stage 4 | Technical Evaluation Scores Stage 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | <p>January 2021) for one of the shareholders (25 shares) as required by criteria 3.1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of the shareholders does not meet the requirement of being 100% Namibian citizen(s) as per section 29 (a) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015 (Act no. 15 of 2015) The bidder submitted a copy of a certified copy of company Registration Certificate which is contrary to the requirement by criteria 3.3. The Bidder attached Protein and Salt sample reports on analytical laboratory results (page 72-80) from reputable laboratories as required by criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)). However, the results are not on the bidder's name and furthermore the bidder did not attach letters of intend to source protein and salt from companies addressed on analytical laboratory reports. |
| 26 | Deme Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | Not Considered | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder attached Protein and Salt sample reports on analytical laboratory results (page 72-80) from reputable laboratories as required by criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)). However, the results are not on the bidder's name and furthermore the bidder did not attach letters of intend to |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---|
| | | Conflict of Interest | Stage 1 | | | | | Stage 2 | | Stage 3 | | | | | |
| | | | Preliminary Examination | Eligibility Evaluation | Compulsory Disclosure | Financial Information Checklist | Technical Evaluation Scores | Financial Evaluation | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | | Stage 4 | Stage 5 | Stage 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | source protein and salt from companies addressed on analytical laboratory reports as per criteria 3.7 (ITB 12.1 (h) 7 (ii)). |
| 11 | James & Young Trading Enterprises CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not complete Lot 7 (Table 1) by not indicating the protein calculation. Salt and Sugar calculations were not fully completed. However, the bidder provided the total amount in Table 2 and Bid Submission form without showing the calculations of such amounts as required by criteria 6.1.1 of 6.1 under the financial evaluation (ITB 36.3(a)). |
| 29 | Future Fresh Super Market CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Not responsive & disqualified | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder computed prices per metric tonnage for protein, sugar, and salt, however the bidder did some alterations in the calculations without initializing next to the alterations (ITB 23.3) that states "Any <i>interlineation, erasure, or overwriting shall be valid only if it is signed or initialled by the person signing the Bid.</i>" |
| 1 | Ouyamba Investments CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified |
| 2 | Tafina Trading CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified |
| 4 | Magnetize Investments CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder not disqualified |

| Bidder No. | Name of the Bidder(s) | Responsiveness as per evaluation stage | | | | | | | | | | Reasons why Bidder was not responsive | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | | Stage 1 | | | | | Stage 2 | | Stage 3 | | Financial Evaluation | | | | | |
| | | Preliminary Examination | Eligibility Evaluation | Compulsory Disclosure | Financial Information Checklist | Technical Evaluation Scores | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 | | | Stage 5 | Stage 6 | | |
| 5 | Sash Trading & Earthwork CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | • Bidder not disqualified |
| 12 | B&H maintenance Services CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | • Bidder not disqualified |
| 16 | Padana Investments CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | • Bidder not disqualified |
| 22 | Bonsec Investments 313 CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | • Bidder not disqualified |
| 32 | Ndakalimwe Investment CC | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | Responsive | • Bidder not disqualified |

14. Price Comparison for the Responsive Bid(s)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), the Evaluation Committee has only examined and verified the eight (8) lowest priced bids out of thirty-two (32) bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

| No. | Bidder | Lots | Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$ | Bid Price After Corrections (N\$) | Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$ | Proposed Award (N\$) | Total Lots |
|-----|---|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|------------|
| 1 | Bidder No. 5 - Sash Trading and Earthworks CC | Lot 8: Ohangwena | 27,843,188.73 | 27,843,188.73 | None | 55,315,306.69 | 2 |
| | | Lot 10: Omusati | 27,472,117.95 | 27,472,117.96 | | | |
| 2 | Bidder No.12 B&H maintenance Services CC | Lot 12: Oshikoto | 22,942,261.44 | 22,942,445.98 | None | 22,942,445.98 | 1 |

| No. | Bidder | Lots | Price at Bid Opening (Including VAT) N\$ | Bid Price After Corrections (N\$) | Price After Margin of Preference (if applicable) N\$ | Proposed Award (N\$) | Total Lots |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| 3 | Bidder No.2 Tafina Trading CC | Lot 4: Kavango East | 21,195,561.56 | 21,195,655.65 | None | 21,195,655.65 | 1 |
| 4 | Bidder No.16 Padana Investments CC | Lot 5: Kavango West Lot 11: Oshana | 16,245,423.84 15,884,043.34 | 16,245,421.71 15,884,041.96 | None | 32,129,463.67 | 2 |
| 5 | Bidder No.32 Ndakalimwe Investments CC | Lot 14: Zambezi Lot 9: Omaheke | 14,212,989.25 3,507,024.78 | 14,212,990.86 3,507,025.51 | None | 17,720,016.36 | 2 |
| 6 | Bidder No.22 Bonsec Investment CC | Lot 7: Kunene Lot 13: Ojzondjupa | 8,916,154.80 7,740,155.79 | 8,916,154.79 7,740,155.76 | None | 16,656,310.55 | 2 |
| 7 | Bidder No.1 Ouyamba Investments CC | Lot 6: Khomas Lot 3: Hardap | 5,365,091.22 4,049,051.88 | 5,365,091.20 4,049,050.28 | None | 9,414,141.48 | 2 |
| 8 | Bidder No.4 Magnetize Investments CC | Lot 1: //Kharas Lot 2: Erongo | 3,455,362.56 4,147,993.94 | 3,455,362.56 4,147,993.94 | None | 7,603,356.50 | 2 |

15. Best Evaluated Bid(s)
Select For Award of Contract:
Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, The Board approved in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

| Bidder No. | Bidder's Name | Address |
|------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Ouyamba Investments CC | Erf No. 302, Paradium Street, Prosperita Windhoek |
| 2 | Tafina Trading CC | 1843 Greyling street, Pioneerspark Extension.1 Windhoek |
| 4 | Magnetize Investments CC | Erf 373 Gold Street Prosperita, Windhoek |
| 5 | Sash Trading & Earthwork CC | Warehouse 2, Omughongo Estate, Oshakati |
| 12 | B&H maintenance Services CC | Hella Kuppe Street, Olympia No.2 Windhoek |
| 16 | Padana Investments CC | Unit 6A, Main Road, Dori Mall, Ongwediva |
| 22 | Bonsec Investments 313 CC | 8 Anton Rupert Street, Windhoek |
| 32 | Ndakalimwe Investment CC | 159 Millenium Park, Rundu |

24 January 2022


P.P. Swartz
CHAIRPERSON



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM

**PROVISION OF PROTEIN, SUGAR SALT TRANSPORTATION TO BLENDERS
WAREHOUSE ON BEHALF OF MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE**

(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO: G/ONB/CPBN-04/2020)

I/We _____ hereby
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary dated _____ and undertake to
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: Signature:

Date: Company Stamp: