

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (SECTION 55(8))

1.	<b>Name of Procurement</b>	Development Contracts for the Blading of Gravel Roads in the Windhoek Region on behalf of Roads Authority
2.	<b>CPBN Procurement Reference No</b>	W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022
3.	<b>Date of Submission of Report</b>	25 August 2022
4.	<b>Contract Number</b>	W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022
5.	<b>Scope of Contract</b>	General Conditions of Contract
6.	<b>Estimated Cost:</b>	N\$ 567,602,597.88 (Inc. VAT)
7.	<b>Funding Agency</b>	Roads Authority (RA)
8.	<b>Procurement Method Used</b>	Open Advertised Bidding
9.	<b>Date of Invitation of Bids</b>	28 January 2022
10.	<b>Closing Date of Submission of Bids</b>	29 March 2022
11.	<b>Date and Place of Opening of Bids</b>	29 March 2022, at Central Procurement Board of Namibia
12.	<b>Number of Bids Received by Closing Date</b>	Eighteen (18)

### 13. Responsiveness of Bid(s)

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 5 Financial Requirement	Stage 6 Technical Score	
8	Ndakalimwe Investment CC JV Zero Six Five Trading CC	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Letter of Bid is incomplete because page 68 of the Letter of Bid that forms part of the bidding document could not be found. The bidder was therefore, deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.1.1 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The period of the bid validity could not be determined since page 68 of the Letter of Bid was missing. The bidder was therefore, deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.1.5 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
12	JV: CSV Shaanika Contracting (Pty) Ltd	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not initial all the pages of the bidding document. Page 125 of the bidding document and a CV of the Site Manager consisting of three (3) pages were not initialed as required in criteria 1.5.1.3 in reference to ITB 21.1 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
18	Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder submitted a certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate that is in the name of Quiver Tree Investment 13 CC, and not in the name of Quiver Tree Investments 13 (Pty) Ltd that was bidding. The BEC was also ascertained that there was no change name from (Pty) Ltd to a CC. Therefore, the bidder was deemed non-responsive in terms of criteria 1.5.3.5 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 5 Financial Requirement	Stage 6 Technical Score	
1	Queen Mwetako Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel &amp; Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document. However, the bidder did submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Tyres from Windhoek Supper Tyres (Pty) Ltd and for the supply of Grader related equipment &amp; parts from Construction Machinery Parts and Plants (CRP) CC.</li> <li>The bidder did not indicate to employ one (1) Namibian Civil Engineering graduate, nor did it submit a brief method statement with a letter of consent from the graduate indicating his/her consent to be mentored by the bidder, as required in terms of criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
2	Shatty Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel &amp; Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document. However, the bidder only submitted a letter of intent for</li> </ul>
3	Bothma Road Contractors and Plant Hire CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel &amp; Fuel related products, as required in evaluation criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document. However, the bidder only submitted a letter of intent for</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	Stage6 Technical Score	
		.						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Supply of Tyres from Bergh and Trend Tyres and for the Supply of Grader related Equipment &amp; Parts from Construction Machinery Parts and Plants CC.</li> <li>The bidder did not make provision for a 5000 L Diesel Truck for any of the Lots it bid for neither did it indicate an intent to lease as required in Criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
4	ETN Technical Services CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder only made provisions for one (1) caravans (through a quotation from Bezer's Trailer) for the five (5) Lots it bid for contrary to evaluation criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The bidder listed two (2) Civil Engineering graduate trainees by the names Peter Iyambo and Maria Hadukeme. However, there was no letter of consent from the trainees to be mentored submitted nor a brief method statement as required in criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
6	FA Developers CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not make provision to acquire, lease or own 3 x Caravans and a 500 L Diesel Tank for the Lots it bid for. The bidder only indicated to own 3x Graders, one (1) Caravan and no Diesel Tank as required in criteria 1.5.4.4 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit a brief method statement/letters of consent indicating one</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	Stage6 Technical Score	
7	BrandBerg Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for Grader Operators &amp; the Diesel Mechanic for the Lots it bid for. The bidder only submitted CVs for the Project / Contracts Manager, Site Agent and Namibian Civil Engineering Graduate Trainees, contrary to criteria 1.5.4.1 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent for the Supply of Fuel &amp; Fuel Products. However, they submitted letters of intent for the supply of Tyre(s) and Grader related equipment &amp; parts from MB Spare parts and ITR Namibia respectively, contrary to criteria 1.5.4.3 of the bidding document.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit a letter of intent from local service providers for the provision of a Grader Monitoring System once awarded the bid as required in criteria 1.5.4.6 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage 1 Preliminary Examination	Stage 2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage 3 Legal Admissibility	Stage 4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage 5 Financial Requirement	Stage 6 Technical Score	
13	Brumar Construction CC JV Odjove Trading & Construction (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder did not submit all the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of employees dedicated to the project. The bidder omitted CVs for Contract Manager and two (2) Grader Operators. The bidder only completed FORM PART E2/resume of proposed personnel on page 125 of the bidding document, which is a summarized form of the CVs contrary to ITB 12.1 (j)(5) on page 35 of the bidding document which required the bidder to submit detailed CVs of site key personnel.</li> </ul>
14	Magnate Civil Engineering CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder's projects on Construction and Road Maintenance works only accumulates to N\$15 million, instead of N\$26 million as required in the criteria 1.5.4.2 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>
16	Nesspark Investments CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder indicated to have a Diesel Truck with the capacity of 2000L, however, on page 64 of the bidding document, in reference to criteria 1.5.4.4, it was required that the bidder submit a letter of intent to buy/lease or own a Diesel Truck with a minimum capacity of 5000 L.</li> <li>The bidder did not submit a brief method statement for the one (1) Namibian Civil Engineering graduate trainees nor a letter indicating the graduates' consent to be mentored as required in criteria 1.5.4.5 of the bidding document.</li> </ul>

Bidder No.	Name of the Bidder(s)	Responsiveness as per Evaluation Stages						Reasons why Bidder was not responsive
		Stage1 Preliminary Examination	Stage2 Eligibility Evaluation	Stage3 Legal Admissibility	Stage4 Technical Evaluation Criteria	Stage5 Financial Requirement	Stage6 Technical Score	
11	Nexus Civils (Pty) Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder's Debt Service Cover Ratio (DSCR) calculated was - 0.92, contrary, to criteria 2.2.2 of the bidding document which required a ration of <math>\geq 1</math>.</li> </ul>
17	LA Van Wyk Grading and Construction CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Not Responsive & Disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Bidder's Average Current ratio calculated was 0.7, contrary, to criteria 2.2.2 of the bidding document which required a ration of <math>\geq 1</math>.</li> </ul>
5	Earth Ethicks Constructions CC John Namusheshe Construction and Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified.</li> </ul>
9	Namusheshe Construction and Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified.</li> </ul>
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (Pty)Ltd	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified.</li> </ul>
15	Globex Investment CC	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified.</li> </ul>

#### 14. PRICE COMPARISON FOR THE RESPONSIVE BID(S)

As provided for in Section 52 (12), of the Public Procurement Act, 15 of 2015 (PPA) and the bidding document ITB 32.1, the Bid Evaluation Committee examined and verified eighteen (18) lowest priced bids that have been deemed substantially responsive to ascertain whether there are any errors in computation and summation.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Code	Price at Bid (including VAT) N\$	Difference	Arithmetically Corrected Bid Price (N\$)	15% Up/Down Threshold	Budget (N\$)	15% Down	15% up	Rank	Recommended/ Alternate
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 07	95,736,120.00	-	95,736,120.00	yes	103,953,426.99	88,360,412.94	119,546,441.04	1	Recommended
			99,684,806.00	-	99,684,806.00	yes				2	1st Alternate
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (PTY) Ltd	DC-WHK 07	103,428,531.00	- 82,194.00	103,346,337.00	yes	103,953,426.99	88,360,412.94	119,546,441.04	3	2nd Alternate
			103,636,576.30	-	103,636,576.30	yes				4	
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 02	72,277,408.00	-	72,277,408.00	yes	80,390,838.35	68,332,212.60	92,449,464.10	1	
			75,774,420.00	-	75,774,420.00	yes				2	Recommended
15	Globex Investments CC	DC-WHK 02	79,136,963.65	-	79,136,963.65	yes	80,390,838.35	68,332,212.60	92,449,464.10	3	1st Alternate
			79,844,546.00	-	79,844,546.00	yes				4	2nd Alternate
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 04	71,537,245.00	- 920,000.00	70,617,245.00	yes	78,689,304.90	66,885,909.17	90,492,700.64	1	1st Alternate
			74,315,702.50	-	74,315,702.50	yes				2	2nd Alternate
15	Globex Investments CC	DC-WHK 04	76,965,733.75	-	76,965,733.75	yes	78,689,304.90	66,885,909.17	90,492,700.64	3	Recommended
			79,788,253.50	-	79,788,253.50	yes				4	
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 03	53,476,058.00	-	53,476,058.00	yes	62,677,382.74	53,276,775.33	72,078,990.15	1	1st Alternate
			58,226,892.00	-	58,226,892.00	yes				2	2nd Alternate
15	Globex Investments CC	DC-WHK 03	61,059,656.25	-	61,059,656.25	yes	62,677,382.74	53,276,775.33	72,078,990.15	3	
			62,020,983.50	-	62,020,983.50	yes				4	Recommended
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 06	51,548,750.00	-	51,548,750.00	yes	55,986,105.21	47,588,189.43	64,384,020.99	1	Recommended
			54,695,449.00	-	54,695,449.00	yes				2	1st Alternate
15	Globex Investments CC	DC-WHK 06	57,686,390.85	-	57,686,390.85	yes	55,986,105.21	47,588,189.43	64,384,020.99	3	2nd Alternate
			59,528,991.00	484,840.00	60,013,831.00	yes				4	
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (PTY) Ltd	DC-WHK 06	59,528,991.00	484,840.00	60,013,831.00	yes				4	



**15. BEST EVALUATED BID(S)**  
**Select For Award of Contract:**  
**Best Evaluated Substantially Responsive Bidder.**

Given recommendation(s) in the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) report, the Board approved it in terms of Section 9 (1) (k) and (l) (i) and Section 55 (6) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015.

Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	Lot Allocated	Bid price(s) read out Bid Opening	Corrections of errors	Discounts	Proposed Award Amount	Address
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 07	N\$ 95,736,120.00	None	None	N\$ 95,736,120.00	PO Box 3623 Ondangwa
			<b>Bid price, including VAT:</b> Ninety-Five Million, Seven Hundred and Thirty-Six Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Namibia Dollars.				
5	Earth Ethicks Construction CC	DC-WHK 02	N\$ 75,774,420.00	None	None	N\$ 75,774,420.00	PO Box 24807 Windhoek
			<b>Bid price, including VAT:</b> Seventy-Five Million, Seven Hundred and Seventy-Four Thousand, Four Hundred and Twenty Namibia Dollars.				
15	Globex Investments CC	DC-WHK 04	N\$ 76,965,733.75	None	None	N\$ 76,965,733.75	PO Box 23099 Windhoek
			<b>Bid price, including VAT:</b> Seventy-Six Million, Nine Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand, Seven Hundred and Thirty-Three Namibia Dollars and Seventy-Five Cents.				
10	Palladium Civil Engineering (PTY) Ltd	DC-WHK 03	N\$ 62,020,983.50	None	None	N\$ 62,020,983.50	PO Box 87365 Eros, Windhoek
			<b>Bid price, including VAT:</b> Sixty-Two Million, Twenty Thousand, Nine Hundred Eighty-Three Namibia Dollars and Fifty Cents.				
9	John Namusheshe Construction & Investment CC	DC-WHK 06	N\$ 51,548,750.00	None	None	N\$ 51,548,750.00	PO Box 3623 Ondangwa
			<b>Bid price, including VAT:</b> Fifty-One Million, Five Hundred and Forty-Eight Thousand, Seven Hundred and Fifty Namibia Dollars.				

05 September 2022

  
**Amon Ngavetene**  
 Chairperson



**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT FORM**

**DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTS FOR THE BLADING OF GRAVEL ROADS IN THE  
WINDHOEK REGION ON BEHALF OF ROADS AUTHORITY  
(PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/OAB/CPBN-01/2022.)**

I/We \_\_\_\_\_ hereby  
acknowledge receipt of this Executive Summary \_\_\_\_\_ and undertake to  
immediately return the signed acknowledgment of receipt to CPBN as proof of receipt.

Name: ..... Signature: .....

Date: ..... Company Stamp: .....